

JS011066677B2

(12) United States Patent

Park et al.

(10) Patent No.: US 11,066,677 B2

(45) **Date of Patent:** Jul. 20, 2021

(54) PLANTS WITH ENHANCED TOLERANCE TO MULTIPLE ABIOTIC STRESSES

(71) Applicants: Kansas State University Research Foundation, Manhattan, KS (US); Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX (US)

(72) Inventors: Sunghun Park, Manhattan, KS (US); Frank White, Manhattan, KS (US); Jungeun Park, Manhattan, KS (US); Kendal Hirschi, Houston, TX (US); Ning-Hui Cheng, Manvel, TX (US)

(73) Assignees: Kansas State University Research Foundation, Manhattan, KS (US); Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 325 days.

(21) Appl. No.: 15/618,792

(22) Filed: Jun. 9, 2017

(65) Prior Publication Data

US 2017/0275644 A1 Sep. 28, 2017

Related U.S. Application Data

- (62) Division of application No. 14/241,992, filed as application No. PCT/US2012/053404 on Aug. 31, 2012, now abandoned.
- (60) Provisional application No. 61/529,404, filed on Aug. 31, 2011.
- (51) Int. Cl. *C12N 15/82* (2006.01) *C07K 14/415* (2006.01)
- (52) U.S. Cl. CPC *C12N 15/8273* (2013.01); *C07K 14/415* (2013.01); *C12N 15/8271* (2013.01)
- (58) Field of Classification Search
 None
 See application file for complete search history.

(56) References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2006/0064784 A1*	3/2006	Chardonnens A01N 65/00
2006/0217544 A1*	9/2006	800/289 Alexandrov C12N 9/0036
2011/0131681 A1	6/2011	536/23.6 Rathinasabapathi et al.
2011/0191081 A1 2011/0209241 A1		Hatzfeld et al.

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Cheng et al., 2011, Journal of Biological Chemistry 286: 20398-20406.*

International Search Report and Written Opinion dated Jan. 29, 2013, in corresponding PCT/US2012/053404 filed Aug. 31, 2012. Cheng, Ning-Hul, "Arobidopsis Monothiol Glutaredoxin, AtGRX17, Is Critical for Temperature-dependent Postembryonic Growth and Development via Modulating Auxin Response," Journal of Biological Chemistry, Jun. 10, 2011, vol. 286, No. 23.

Wu, Qingyu, "Ectopic Expression of Arabidopsis Glutaredoxin AtGRXS17 Enhances Thermotolerance in Tomato," Plant Biotechnology Journal, Jul. 5, 2012, pp. 1-11.

Park, Sung Hun, "Efficient and Genotype-independent Agrobacterium—mediated tomato transformation," Journal of Plant Physiology, 2003, pp. 1253-1257, vol. 160.

Thornton, Janet M. "From Structure to function: Approaches and limitations," Nature Structural Biology, Structural Genomics Supplement, Nov. 2000.

Shanker, Arun Kumar "Abiotic Stress Response in plants—physiological, biochemical and genetic perspectives," Intechweb. org, Jul. 2011.

Guo, Haiwei, "Protein tolerance to random amino acid change," PNAS, Jun. 22, 2004, vol. 101, No. 25 pp. 9205-9210.

Keskin, Ozlem "A new, structurally nonredundant, diverse data set of protein-protein interfaces and its implications," Protein Science, Jan. 9, 2004, pp. 1043-1055; vol. 13.

Cheng, Ning-Hui "AtGRXcp, an Arabidopsis Chloroplastic Glutaredoxin, Is Critical for Protection against Pretein Oxidative Damage," The Journal of Biological Chemistry, Sep. 8, 2006, pp. 26280-26288, vol. 281, No. 36.

Office Action dated Mar. 4, 2016, in U.S. Appl. No. 14/241,992, filed Feb. 28, 2014.

Office Action dated Jul. 14, 2016, in U.S. Appl. No. 14/241,992, filed Feb. 28, 2014.

Office Action dated Dec. 12, 2016, in U.S. Appl. No. 14/241,992, dated Feb. 28, 2014.


* cited by examiner


Primary Examiner — Bratislav Stankovic (74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm — Hovey Williams LLP

(57) ABSTRACT

The present disclosure describes genetically-modified plants having enhanced tolerance to multiple abiotic stressors, such as extreme temperatures (heat or cold) and/or drought. Abiotic stress tolerance is enhanced by ectopic expression of a heterologous glutaredoxin. Abiotic stress tolerance (particularly drought) is also enhanced by inhibited function, activity, or expression of an endogenous glutaredoxin. Methods of producing such genetically-modified plants are also disclosed.

14 Claims, 23 Drawing Sheets Specification includes a Sequence Listing.





Jul. 20, 2021

Fig. 2




Fig. 3



Fig. 4

Gene name	Accession#	Forward primer	Reverse primer	
LeHSP21	U66300	TGCGTTTTGACATGCCGGGA SEQ ID NO: 10	TCTGGGAGACTTAAACGAGTGTCG SEQ ID NO: 11	
Leerhsp21.5	Ab026983	GGCATTGTGTTGAAAGGAGTCATGGA SEQ ID NO: 12	CCACAGAGAGAAACAGAGGATGAAC SEQ ID NO: 13	
LesHSPMT	AB017134	GCGGTGGAGGAGAACACGCT SEQ ID NO: 14	TCTCCGCCTTGAFTCCATCCA SEQ ID NO: 15	
HSFA12	AW223123	GGCAGCAAAGGCAATGTTGAGGGA SEQ ID NO: 16	TGGGAACATGTGCCAAGATGAGATGA SEQ ID NO: 17	
HSFA2	AK325085	GGCGGTCTCTAGTAGCGCATGT SEQ ID NO: 18	TGGTTGAGGAAAGCCGAGTCCA SEQ ID NO: 19	

Fig. 5




Fig. 6

Jul. 20, 2021



Wild type

AtGRXS17-GFP

Fig. 7






Fig. 8












Fig. 10










Fig. 11








Fig. 13




Fig. 14






Fig. 15



WT
AtGRXS17-6
AtGRXS17-9

Fig. 16






Fig. 17



Fig. 18





Fig. 19









Fig. 21








Gene name	Accession #	Primer sequence SEQ I	SEQ ID NO:	
SIABRE1	AY530758	Forward: ACCAACAATCACAGCCACAG	20	
		Reverse: TGCTCTTCCCAAGTCCATCT	21	
SICBF1	AY034473.I	Forward: GCTGGCAGGAAGAAGTTTCG	22	
		Reverse: GAGTTGGAGGAAGCAGGGATAG	23	
SICAT1	M93719	Forward: ATTGCTGCTGGAAACTATCCTGAG	24	
		Reverse: GGTCCAATACGGTGTCTCTGAGTA	25	
SIPP2Acs	AY325818	Forward: CGATGTGTGATCTCCTATGGTC	26	
(housekeeping gene)		Reverse: AAGCTGATGGGCTCTAGAAATC	27	
Militar and a supplier and a supplie		71' - 97		

Fig. 25







Fig. 27








Fig. 29










Fig. 31





Fig. 32


Α

В



Primary root length (cm) 5 4 3 2 1 0 Wild type AKGRY 571.3 AKGRY 571.6

Fig. 33



PLANTS WITH ENHANCED TOLERANCE TO MULTIPLE ABIOTIC STRESSES

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

The present application is a divisional of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/241,992, filed Feb. 28, 2014, which is the National Stage of PCT/US2012/053404, filed Aug. 31, 2012, which claims the priority benefit of U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 61/529,404, filed Aug. 31, 2011, entitled Development of an *Arabidopsis* Monothiol Glutaredoxin AtGRXS17-Mediated Thermotolerance in Plants. Each of the foregoing applications is incorporated by reference in its entirety herein.

SEQUENCE LISTING

The nucleic and amino acid sequences listed in the accompanying Sequence Listing are presented in accor- 20 dance with 37 C.F.R. 1.822. Only one strand of each nucleic acid sequence is shown, but the complementary strand is understood as included by any reference to the displayed strand. The Sequence Listing is submitted as an ASCII computer readable text file, created on Aug. 24, 2012, 35 25 KB, which is incorporated by reference herein. In the accompanying sequence listing: SEQ ID NO: 1 is a coding complementary (cDNA) sequence of the mRNA for the AtGRXS17 gene; SEQ ID NO:2 is the amino acid sequence of AtGRXS17; SEQ ID NO:3 is the nucleotide sequences of 30 the AtGRXS17-GFP fusion protein; SEQ ID NO:4 is the amino acid sequence of the fusion protein; SEQ ID NO:5 is a coding cDNA sequence of the OsGRXS17 gene; SEQ ID NO:6 is the amino acid sequence of OsGRXS17; SEQ ID NO: 7 is the complete coding sequence of chromosome 10 35 of Oryza sativa, where residues 1295-1688 correspond to the sequence used for RNAi; SEQ ID NOs:8-29 are nucleotide sequences of primers; SEQ ID NO:30 is the coding sequence of the SIGRXS17 gene; and SEQ ID NO:31 is the amino acid sequence of SIGRXS17.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to genetically-modified plants having enhanced tolerance to multiple abiotic stressors.

Description of Related Art

Abiotic stress (drought, cold, heat, salt, etc.) adversely affects the growth and development of plants and limits agricultural productivity, often causing losses of billions of dollars per year. Worldwide extensive agricultural losses are 55 attributed to temperature stress and drought. Drought stress alone afflicts more than 50% of the Earth's surface area. Furthermore, drought and heat stress often occur simultaneously, and both are tightly related to oxidative stress.

While various signaling networks regulate plant 60 responses to stress, the mechanisms unifying these diverse biological processes are largely unknown. A common plant response to drought or cold as well as heat stresses involves dramatic increases in the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS). Although ROS can act as signals for stress 65 responses, excessive ROS production causes oxidative damage to macromolecules and cell structures, leading to inhi-

2

bition of plant development. Therefore, the level of ROS must be regulated in plants through the coordination of ROS production, signaling and scavenging. Heat stress is known to induce oxidative stress. Furthermore, during prolonged heat stress, ROS levels increase dramatically, resulting in significant oxidative damage to plant macromolecules and cell structures. Thus, toxic ROS must be rapidly detoxified by various cellular enzymatic or nonenzymatic mechanisms. In particular, H₂O₂ has been known to block heat shock response and macromolecule refolding activity under heat stress, for example, thereby leading to insufficient macromolecule biosynthesis quality control and enhanced endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress. Uncontrolled stress responses may enhance cell death. Protection against oxidative stress, therefore, is an important component in determining the survival of a plant under stress.

The phytohormone abscisic acid (ABA) is a key mediator of drought stress and coordinates a complex regulatory network enabling plants to cope with decreased water availability by regulation of downstream signaling pathways, including the transcription factor AREB (ABA-responsive element binding protein). Cold stress also adversely affects plant crop productivity. Plants have evolved sophisticated response pathways that include the CBF (C-repeat binding factor) pathway, which contributes to chilling resistance and cold acclimation. Tomato, in particular, has poor freezing tolerance and suffers chilling injury when exposed to low temperatures.

Glutaredoxins are small ubiquitous proteins of the thioredoxin family and mediate reversible reduction of disulfide bonds of substrate proteins in the presence of glutathione (GSH) via a dithiol or monothiol mechanism. These enzymes have emerged as key regulators in diverse cellular processes, including oxidative stress responses. Glutaredoxins and thioredoxins function by regulating cellular redox state and redox-dependent signaling pathways and are conserved in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes. In plants, glutaredoxins represent a large protein family, the members of which are not only necessary for redox buffering, but also play roles in heavy metal detoxification, plant development, plant-pathogen interactions, iron homeostasis, and abiotic stress response. All glutaredoxins have a CXXC/S active site motif where the cysteines can be used in the reduction reaction via a dithiol (using the two cysteines) or monothiol (involving only the N-terminal cysteine) mechanism. Plant glutaredoxins are divided into three classes: namely the CPYC, CGFS, and CC-type classes. The CPYC and CGFS classes exist in all examined organisms from prokaryotes to 50 eukaryotes. Glutaredoxins from the CGFS class all contain the strictly conserved CGFS motif. A single glutaredoxin protein can have multiple functions in plants.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present disclosure is broadly concerned with a genetically-modified plant having enhanced tolerance to an abiotic stressor as compared to a control plant. The genetically-modified (or engineered) plant has ectopic expression of a heterologous, abiotic stress tolerance gene, which encodes for a glutaredoxin.

Embodiments described herein are also directed towards methods of enhancing the tolerance of a plant to an abiotic stressor. The methods comprise transforming the plant with a heterologous, abiotic stress tolerance gene, wherein the gene encodes a glutaredoxin, thereby enhancing the tolerance of the plant to an abiotic stressor.

Methods of producing genetically-modified plants having enhanced tolerance to an abiotic stressor are also provided. The methods comprise crossing a first plant with a second plant to thereby produce progeny, wherein at least one of the first or second plants is a genetically-modified plant having ectopic expression of a heterologous, abiotic stress tolerance gene. Advantageously, the progeny have enhanced tolerance to an abiotic stressor.

Genetically-modified seeds produced according to the various methods described herein are also provided. In some embodiments, a method of producing genetically-modified seed is provided, which comprises providing a geneticallymodified plant having ectopic expression of a heterologous, abiotic stress tolerance gene, and self-pollinating the plant to 15 thereby produce genetically-modified seed. The geneticallymodified seed comprises the heterologous, abiotic stress tolerance gene.

Recombinant plant cells are also provided. The plant cells stable transformation with a nucleic acid construct encoding the glutaredoxin, wherein the glutaredoxin is GRXS17.

An isolated nucleotide sequence encoding a fusion protein for enhancing the abiotic stress tolerance of a plant is also provided. The isolated nucleotide sequence comprises: (a) a 25 sense or antisense sequence corresponding to SEQ ID NO:3, or the conservatively modified variants thereof; or (b) a nucleotide sequence encoding a protein comprising SEQ ID NO:4, or a protein having at least about 50% sequence identify to SEQ ID NO:4 and retaining the functional 30 characteristics thereof.

The disclosure is also concerned with fusion proteins for enhancing the abiotic stress tolerance of a plant. The fusion protein comprises a glutaredoxin amino acid sequence linked to a reporter amino acid sequence by one or more 35 peptide bonds.

In some embodiments, a genetically-modified plant having enhanced resistance to an abiotic stressor (particularly drought stress) is also provided, which has inhibited expresgene.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 shows (A) data that AtGRXS17 rescues the cell 45 growth of yeast Grx3/Grx4 mutant. Vector-expressing wild type cells and vector-, yeast Grx3-, AtGRXS17-, and AtGRXS17-GFP-expressing grx3grx4 cells were grown on YPD and SC-Ura media. The photographs were taken after 3 days of growth at 30° C.; (B) Yeast strains carrying various 50 plasmid DNAs as indicated in Example 1 were grown in SC-Ura liquid media with 1 mM H₂O₂; (C) Yeast strains carrying various plasmid DNAs as indicated in Example 1 were grown in SC-Ura liquid media 0.5 mM Diamide; (D) data showing that AtGRXS17 suppresses the sensitivity of 55 yeast Grx3/Grx4 mutants to heat shock;

FIG. 2 contains images of co-localization of AtGRXS17-GFP and yeast Grx3-RFP with nuclear markers in yeast cells. BF: bright field. Scale bars=10 µm;

FIG. 3 shows the DNA gel blot analysis from Example 2, 60 which confirmed the stable integration of AtGRXS17 into the genome of tomato plants;

FIG. 4 shows the RT-PCR confirmation of AtGRXS17 expression in different lines;

FIG. 5 is a table of PCR primers used in the Examples; 65 FIG. 6 shows images of the subcellular localization of AtGRXS17. Transient expression of (A) free GFP and (B)

GFP-AtGRXS17 in tobacco cells after being treated at 25° C. and 45° C. for 90 min. Scale bars=25 µm. The arrows highlight the nucleus;

FIG. 7 is a photograph of wild type and AtGRXS17-GFPexpressing tomato plants after being treated at 38° C./28° C. (day/night) for 3 days, followed by 42° C./32° C. (day/night) for 3 more days;

FIG. 8 is an image of the immunoblot detection of GFP-tagged recombinant proteins extracted from wild type and AtGRXS17-GFP-expressing tomato plants;

FIG. 9 shows a graph of the total 100 nuclei counted for fluorescent signals. The percentage of weak or strong nuclear signals was recorded;

FIG. 10 shows photographs indicating that the growth and development of the tomato plants is indistinguishable before heat treatment, indicating that the expression of AtGRXS17 does not adversely affect the plant or fruit phenotype or yield;

FIG. 11 shows photographs depicting the comparative have ectopic expression of a heterologous glutaredoxin by 20 heat tolerance of the wild type vs. the AtGRXS17-expressing tomato plants;

> FIG. 12 shows photographs of the plants depicting the recovery of the AtGRXS17-expressing tomato plants when transferred to normal growing conditions after 6 days of heat treatment, as compared to the wild-type plant, which was unable to recover;

> FIG. 13 shows graphs of (A) Chlorophyll fluorescence of wild type and AtGRXS17-expressing plants; and (B) Electrolyte leakage of wild type and AtGRXS17-expressing plants after heat stress;

> FIG. 14 shows graphs of the above-ground fresh weights and dry weights of wild type and AtGRXS17-expressing plants after heat treatment growth for 28 days as compared with Control (normal growing conditions);

> FIG. 15 shows images demonstrating the effects of heat stress on the accumulation of H₂O₂ in the leaves of 30-dayold wild-type (WT) and AtGRXS17-expressing (GRXS17 #6 and GRXS17 #9) tomato plants;

FIG. 16 shows a graph of the effects of AtGRXS17sion, activity, or function of an endogenous glutaredoxin 40 expression on the CAT (catalase) activity under control or heat treatments. Control, the 33-day-old tomato plants grown under normal growth condition as describe above. Heat, the 30-day-old tomato plants were grown under normal growth condition and then treated at 42° C./32° C. (day/night) for 3 days;

> FIG. 17 shows graphs of the expression analysis of heat shock proteins (HSP) and heat shock factors (HSF) in the wild type and AtGRXS17-expressing tomato plants by qRT-PCR. Data are expressed as relative values based on wild type plants grown under control condition as reference sample set to 1.0;

> FÎG. 18 shows photographs of wild type and AtGRXS17expressing rice after heat stress;

> FIG. 19 shows photographs of (A) 5 weeks of Arabidopsis seedlings after drought stress was applied by withholding water for 20 d in a growth chamber (16 h light, 100 µmol m⁻²s⁻¹, 22±2° C.), and recovery was initiated by placing pots in a shallow tray of water; and (B) Plant viability evaluated 12 d after initiation of recovery under normal growth conditions. Plants were scored as viable if one or more new leaves appear during the recovery period;

> FIG. 20 shows photographs of 4 weeks of rice seedlings (transgenic line 7 and WT) after drought stress was applied by withholding water for 11 d in a growth chamber (16 h light, 100 μmol m⁻²s⁻¹, 28±2° C.), and recovery was initiated by placing pots in a shallow tray of water. 0 d represents the initial day of withholding water. 9 d and 11 d represent

the days after withholding water. "14 d after rewatering" represents the days after rewatering and recovering the rice plants:

FIG. 21 shows four-week old rice seedlings (transgenic lines RNAi-6, -7, -8 and -13) with reduced expression of OsGRXS17 by RNA-mediated silencing and WT plants after drought stress treatment followed by recovery after rewatering;

FIG. 22 is a graph of the relative expression levels of DST by quantitative real-time PCR in leaves of two-week rice plants from Example 4;

FIG. 23 is a graph of the water loss rates in Example 4 as calculated by the formula: water loss rate (%)=[(FW-DW)/FW)]*100. FW=Fresh weight, DW=Dried weight;

FIG. **24** is graph of the relative water content (RWC) calculated the day when wilting of OsGRXS17-silenced rice appeared under drought stress treatment. RWC (%)=[(FM-DM)/(TM-DM)]*100. FM=Fresh Mass, DM=Dry Mass, TM=Turgid Mass;

FIG. 25 is a table of PCR primers used in the Examples; FIG. 26 shows (A) photographs of the morphology of AtGRXS17-expressing and wild-type plants before and after drought stress; (B) a graph of shoot water content of AtGRXS17-expressing and wild-type plants after 10-d withholding water; and (C) a graph of chlorophyll fluorescence of AtGRXS17-expressing and wild-type plants during drought treatment. Data represent mean±SD from three independent biological replicates (*P<0.05, ** P<0.01);

FIG. 27 shows a graph of the relative mRNA levels of 30 SIABRE1 gene in 14-d-old AtGRXS17-expressing and wild-type tomato seedlings after being treated at 4° C. for 0, 0.5, 2, 4, 8, and 24 h, respectively. Data represent mean±SD from three independent biological replicates, and indicates that SIABRE1 transcripts remain longer at a higher level in 35 AtGRXS17-expressing tomato plants under drought stress;

FIG. 28 is a PCR gel image of the integration of AtCBF3 into the tomato genome using HYG primers;

FIG. **29** shows photographs of (A) Four-week-old AtGRXS17-expressing, AtCBF3-expressing or wild-type 40 seedlings treated under 4° C. (day/night) for 3 weeks; (B) AtGRXS17-expressing, AtCBF3-expressing and wild-type tomato leaves after cold treatment; and (C) Five-days recovery after 3-week-cold treatment;

FIG. **30** shows graphs of (A) Electrolyte leakage of 45 AtCBF3-, AtGRXS17-expressing and wild-type tomato plants during cold treatment; and (B) Chlorophyll fluorescence of the bottom second leaves of wild type, AtCBF3-, AtGRXS17-expressing tomato plants during cold treatment. The error bars indicates ±SD (n=3);

FIG. **31** is a photograph comparing the phenotypes of wild-type and AtCBF3-expressing tomato plants under normal growth condition;

FIG. 32 is a graph of relative mRNA levels of SICBF1 gene in 14-d-old wild-type and AtGRXS17-expressing 55 tomato seedlings after being treated at 4° C. for 0, 0.5, 2, 4, 8, 24, and 48 h, respectively. Data represent mean±SD from three independent biological replicates, and indicate dynamic changes in SICBF1 transcript levels of AtGRXS17-expressing tomato plants under cold stress;

FIG. 33 is (A) photographs of AtGRXS17-expressing and wild-type tomato seedlings treated with oxidative herbicide. Seven-day-old AtGRXS17-expressing and wild-type tomato seedlings were transferred onto MS media with (lower panel) or without (upper panel) 20 M MV and incubated for 65 14 d. Bars=5 cm; and (B) a graph of the root length of wild-type and AtGRXS17-expressing tomato seedlings that

6

were being treated by 20 M MV. Data represent mean±SD from eight independent biological replicates (** P<0.01, *** P<0.001); and

FIG. **34** is a graph of the relative mRNA levels of SICAT1 gene in leaf tissue of AtGRXS17-expressing and wild-type plants exposed to oxidative stress. Data represent mean±SD from three independent biological replicates.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

In more detail, the present invention is concerned with plants having ectopic expression of an exogenous, abiotic stress tolerance gene, which thereby have increased tolerance or resistance to abiotic stresses relative to a control plant. Unless otherwise indicated by the context, references herein to a "plant" or "plants" includes tissues, organs, or parts thereof (e.g., leaves, stems, tubers), fruit, or cells thereof. The invention is also concerned with various methods of increasing plant tolerance or resistance to abiotic 20 stresses by ectopic expression of an exogenous, abiotic stress tolerance gene in a plant. Methods of creating such non-naturally occurring genetically-modified plants are also provided, along with nucleic acid constructs, vectors, and fusion proteins useful in such methods. The invention is suitable for use with various plants, including both monocotyledons (i.e., plants having one cotyledon (seed-leaf), aka "monocots") and dicotyledons (i.e., plants having two cotyledons, aka "dicots"). Non-limiting examples of plants suitable for the disclosed embodiments include grains (e.g., wheat, oat, barley, rice, maize, millet, rye, sorghum, triticale, buckwheat, quinoa), legumes (e.g., soybeans, beans, peas, alfalfa), tubers (e.g., potatoes, sweet potatoes, cassava, yam), nightshades (e.g., tomatoes, peppers, tobacco), other cash crops (e.g., cotton), and the like.

The inventive methods can be used to produce plants with enhanced tolerance to a variety of abiotic stresses. The term "abiotic" stress is used herein to refer to non-living chemical and/or physical factors in the environment that affect plant growth and/or development. Examples include extreme temperatures (heat or cold), water availability (e.g., drought), salinity (e.g., salt), and the like. Such abiotic factors are considered "stressors" when they influence the environment beyond its normal range of variation to adversely affect plant growth and/or development. For example, heat or cold stress may occur when the plant is subjected to temperatures at least about 10-20° C. higher or lower than the normal plant growing temperatures. "Normal plant growing temperatures" refers to temperature ranges suggested for optimal growth and yield, which for most species are known in the art. For example, many plants prefer a daytime temperature of between about 24° C. and about 28° C., and a nighttime temperature of between about 19° C. and about 21° C. In general, preferred nighttime temperatures are about 5° C. to 7° C. lower than daytime temperatures. The tolerance of the transgenic plant is considered to be "enhanced" when the transgenic plant's growth or development is superior to the growth and development of a control plant under the same conditions or stressors, even if the transgenic plant is not completely resistant to or unaffected by the stressor.

As noted above, the transgenic plants have ectopic expression of an exogenous gene. The term "exogenous" is used herein to refer to a nucleic acid sequence (e.g., DNA, RNA) or gene that originates from a source outside of a particular plant species, and is introduced into another (host) plant species to create the transgenic plant. For example, the term as it is used in reference to expression of an encoding nucleic acid, refers to introduction of the encoding nucleic

acid in an expressible form into the host plant. Transformation techniques for plants are well known in the art and include *Agrobacterium*-mediated techniques, as described herein, as well as other techniques involving the uptake of exogenous genetic material by the plant, such as PEG- or 5 electroporation-mediated uptake, particle bombardment-mediated delivery, and/or microinjection.

In one or more embodiments, the exogenous abiotic stress tolerance gene is heterologous. The term "heterologous" refers to genetic material derived from a source other than 10 (i.e., foreign to) the referenced species, whereas "homologous" refers to genetic material derived from, naturally associated with, or native to, the host plant species. For example, in some embodiments, the transgenic plants are created by introducing genetic material encoding for an 15 abiotic stress tolerance gene product from one species into a host plant of a different species, wherein the host plant expresses that heterologous gene product. Advantageously, ectopic expression or overexpression of the heterologous abiotic stress tolerance gene increases the tolerance or 20 resistance of the transgenic plant to abiotic stresses.

The abiotic stress tolerance gene described herein is a glutaredoxin gene (aka glutaredoxin transgene), and more preferably a gene encoding a CGFS-type monothiol glutaredoxin, preferably GRXS17, which is highly conserved 25 across different species. More particularly, transgenic plants according to the invention preferably express a heterologous gene for this glutaredoxin. In some embodiments, the gene is expressed in the presence of the abiotic stress and/or is dependent on the plant being under stress for expression to 30 be activated. In other embodiments, expression is not dependent on the presence or absence of a stressor. In some embodiments, Arabidopsis gene AtGRXS17 can be used to transform plant species other than Arabidopsis, such as tomatoes, rice, wheat, etc. into stress tolerant transgenic 35 plants. Likewise, tomato gene SIGRXS17 can be used to transform other plant species such as rice, wheat, corn, etc. into stress tolerant transgenic plants, while rice gene OsGRXS17 can be used to transform other plant species such as tomatoes, wheat, corn, etc. into stress tolerant 40 transgenic plants.

In some embodiments, the tolerance to an abiotic stressor in the plant is enhanced by expressing in the plant an abiotic stress tolerance gene which comprises (or consists of) a sequence selected from the group consisting of: (a) a nucleo- 45 tide sequence comprising SEQ ID NO:1, 3, 5, or 30; (b) a nucleotide sequence comprising an antisense sequence corresponding to SEQ ID NO:1, 3, 5, or 30; (c) a nucleotide sequence having at least about 70% sequence identity (preferably at least about 80% sequence identity, and more 50 preferably at least about 90% sequence identity) to SEQ ID NO: 1, 3, 5, or 30 (i.e., conservatively modified variants thereof); (d) a nucleotide sequence encoding a glutaredoxin protein comprising SEQ ID NO:2, 4, 6, or 31; and (e) a nucleotide sequence encoding a glutaredoxin protein having 55 at least about 50% amino acid identity (preferably at least about 70% amino acid identity, and more preferably at least about 80% amino acid identity) to SEQ ID NO: 2, 4, 6, or 31 and retaining the functional characteristics thereof. The "functional characteristics" of the abiotic stress tolerance 60 proteins refers to the ability of the expressed protein or enzyme to regulate endogenous stress response pathways and/or the enzymatic function of reactive oxygen species, protect the plant from oxidative stress, and enhance endogenous antioxidant activity. In some embodiments, the plant 65 abiotic stress tolerance protein is an antioxidant for reactive oxygen species, such as .O2⁻, H₂O₂, and/or —OH radicals.

8

In some embodiments, the abiotic stress tolerance protein upregulates endogenous heat shock proteins in the plant. In some embodiments, the abiotic stress tolerance protein upregulates catalase enzyme activity in the plant. Thus, "conserved variants" of the disclosed nucleic acid and amino acid sequences are contemplated herein, as long as the resulting proteins or enzymes retain one or more of the abilities described above.

In one or more embodiments, the method of enhancing the tolerance of a plant to abiotic stress comprises introducing and expressing in a plant cell a heterologous nucleic acid construct encoding a glutaredoxin, preferably a CGFS-type monothiol glutaredoxin, and more preferably GRXS17. A recombinant plant cell comprising the nucleic acid construct, preferably stably incorporated into its genome, is also provided herein. The nucleic acid construct can comprise a nucleic acid coding sequence which is operably linked to a promoter that drives expression in the plant cell. Suitable promoters include the cauliflower mosaic virus promoter (CaMV35S), the rice actin promoter, the maize ubiquitin promoter, or other stress-inducible promoters that can be selected by those of ordinary skill in the art. A "stressinducible promoter" is one that is activated in response to stress. More preferably, the transgenic plant is prepared by introducing into a plant cell a vector or plasmid comprising the nucleic acid construct. Thus, in one or more embodiments, a plant cell transformed with an expression vector or plasmid described herein is also provided. In further embodiments, a vector or plasmid is provided for preparing a transgenic plant having enhanced resistance to abiotic stress. The vector or plasmid comprises an expression cassette comprising a nucleic acid construct, which encodes an abiotic stress tolerance gene, operably linked a suitable promoter for driving expression of the nucleic acid in the plant cell.

In some embodiments, the nucleic acid construct comprises (or consists of) a sequence selected from the group consisting of: (a) a nucleotide sequence comprising SEQ ID NO:1, 3, 5, or 30; (b) a nucleotide sequence comprising an antisense sequence corresponding to SEQ ID NO: 1, 3, 5, or 30; (c) a nucleotide sequence having at least about 70% sequence identity (preferably at least about 80% sequence identity, and more preferably at least about 90% sequence identity) to SEQ ID NO: 1, 3, 5, or 30 (i.e., conservatively modified variants thereof); (d) a nucleotide sequence encoding a glutaredoxin protein comprising SEQ ID NO:2, 4, 6, or 31; and (e) a nucleotide sequence encoding a glutaredoxin protein having at least about 50% amino acid identity (preferably at least about 70% amino acid identity, and more preferably at least about 80% amino acid identity) to SEQ ID NO: 2, 4, 6, or 31 and retaining the functional characteristics thereof.

In some embodiments, there is provided an isolated nucleotide sequence encoding a glutaredoxin protein for enhancing abiotic stress tolerance in plants. In some embodiments, the nucleotide sequence comprises a sense or antisense sequence corresponding to SEQ ID NO: 1, 5, or 30 or the conservatively modified variants thereof. In some embodiments, the nucleotide sequence encodes a protein comprising SEQ ID NO:2, 6, or 31 or a protein having at least about 50% amino acid identity (preferably at least about 70% amino acid identity, and more preferably at least about 80% amino acid identity) to SEQ ID NO:2, 6, or 31 and retaining the functional characteristics thereof.

In some embodiments, there is provided an isolated nucleotide sequence encoding a fusion protein for enhancing the abiotic stress tolerance of a plant. In some embodiments,

the nucleotide sequence comprises a sense or antisense sequence corresponding to SEQ ID NO:3, or the conservatively modified variants thereof. In some embodiments, the nucleotide sequence encodes a protein comprising SEQ ID NO:4, or a protein having at least about 50% amino acid 5 identity (preferably at least about 70% amino acid identity, and more preferably at least about 80% amino acid identity) to SEQ ID NO:4 and retaining the functional characteristics thereof. Thus, in one or more embodiments, a fusion protein for enhancing the abiotic stress tolerance of a plant is provided. In some embodiments, the fusion protein comprises a glutaredoxin amino acid sequence, such as those described herein (e.g., 2, 4, 6, or 31), linked to reporter amino acid sequence by one or more peptide bonds. In some embodiments, the reporter is a fluorescent protein, such as 15 green fluorescent protein (GFP). Other suitable reporters include beta-glucuronidase (GUS), luciferase, dsRed (red fluorescent protein), and the like.

Methods of the invention include, culturing plant tissue media (e.g., Murashige and Skoog (MS), or Chu (N_6)), followed by introduction of the exogenous abiotic stress tolerance gene into the tissue using suitable techniques, such as those described above and in the working examples. The abiotic stress tolerance gene can be introduced using a 25 construct, vector, plasmid or other suitable technique. Expression of the gene results in transformed or modified tissue. As noted above, reporter genes can be used to verify transformation. The transformed tissue can then be used to regenerate transgenic whole plants having enhanced toler- 30 ance to abiotic stressors. Transgenic plants can be regenerated using various techniques depending upon the plant species involved. In one or more embodiments, regeneration comprises inducing callus formation from the transformed tissue, and regeneration of shoots, followed by rooting of the 35 shoots in soil or other appropriate rooting media to generate the whole plant.

The resulting transgenic plants can be crossed to prepare progeny, and preferably homozygous progeny or seeds. Thus, abiotic stress-tolerant plants can also be produced 40 indirectly by breeding parent plants having enhanced abiotic stress tolerance with other abiotic stress-tolerant plants, or even with other cultivars having additional desired characteristics (e.g., pest or herbicide resistance, geographic adaptation, stalk strength, etc.). The resulting progeny can then 45 be screened to identify abiotic stress-tolerant progeny.

In one or more embodiments, the invention is also concerned with a process of producing (transgenic) seed. In some embodiments, the method comprises self-pollination of a transgenic plant as described herein. In some embodi- 50 ments, the method comprises crossing a first plant with a second plant, wherein at least one of the first or second plants is a transgenic plant having enhanced abiotic stress tolerance, as described herein. In some embodiments, the first and second plants are both transgenic plants as 55 described herein. In one or more embodiments, the first and second plants can be crossed via cross-pollination using insects (e.g., flies in cloth cages), manual (hand) pollination, and the like.

Advantageously, transgenic plants according to the vari- 60 ous embodiments of the invention have enhanced tolerance to abiotic stress. However, unlike many other transgenic plants with similar improvements in tolerance to one or more specific stresses, plants according to the invention have a phenotype/morphology that is otherwise substantially 65 similar to, and in some cases, nearly identical to wild type plants of the same species (when such wild type plants are

10

grown under non-stress conditions). In other words, the shape, size, and/or abundance of foliage and/or fruit/vegetable is substantially similar between the transgenic plants and wild type plants. Plants are considered to be "substantially similar" herein if those skilled in the art have difficulty visually distinguishing between the genetically-modified plant and the control plant when grown under identical normal growing conditions. In contrast, when grown under stress, transgenic plants according to the various embodiments of the invention, have significantly improved morphologies as compared to control plants grown under the same conditions. For example, the transgenic plant may have one or more of the following improved characteristics: vigorous growth, abundant foliage, longer primary roots, yield, height, and/or shoot water potential, when grown in the presence of one or more abiotic stressors. Similarly, the transgenic plants have significantly improved recovery after stress.

In one or more embodiments, the invention is also con-(e.g., leaf, cotyledon, or hypocotyl explants) on a suitable 20 cerned with enhancing the abiotic stress tolerance of plants by silencing the expression of an endogenous glutaredoxin gene that is normally expressed in the plant. In some embodiments, the target gene encodes a CGFS-type monothiol glutaredoxin, and more preferably is GRXS17. The method comprises inhibiting the expression, activity, or function of an endogenous glutaredoxin gene in a plant to thereby produce a modified plant having enhanced resistance to an abiotic stress. It is noted that plants produced according to these methods are particularly resistant to drought. This method can be carried out in addition to, or in lieu of the ectopic expression of the abiotic stress tolerance gene described above.

It will be appreciated that the expression, activity, or function of an endogenous glutaredoxin gene in a plant can be inhibited by any suitable gene down-regulation technique, which can include modifying the target gene itself, as well as methods involving modification of adjacent sequences. For example, transgenic techniques can be used to alter expression of the target gene. In one aspect, the plant can comprise a nucleic acid construct, preferably stably incorporated into its genome, which inhibits expression, activity, or function of the endogenous glutaredoxin gene. Thus, the resulting plant is prepared by introducing into a plant cell a nucleic acid construct that inhibits expression, activity, or function of the target endogenous glutaredoxin gene. In some embodiments, the nucleic acid encodes a double-stranded RNA that inhibits expression, activity, or function of the endogenous glutaredoxin gene. The nucleic acid can be introduced in a construct, vector, or plasmid including applicable promoters using any suitable method, as described herein.

In some embodiments, RNA interference (RNAi) is used to inhibit the expression, activity, or function of the target gene. More specifically, RNAi is used to reduce the expression of one or more transcripts of the endogenous glutaredoxin gene. RNAi relies on sequence-specific, post-transcriptional gene silencing, and is broadly defined herein to include all post-transcriptional and transcriptional mechanisms of RNA-mediated inhibition of gene expression. Generally, in RNAi, all or a portion of the target gene (typically greater than 200 bp) is duplicated in an expression vector in a sense/antisense or an antisense/sense orientation so that the resulting mRNA hybridizes to itself forming a large hairpin loop. This product in then processed by the cell into small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) which are approximately 21-24 nucleotides in length triggering RNAi and silencing the endogenous target gene. RNAi can be used to

either partially or completely inhibit expression of the target gene. RNAi may also be considered to completely or partially inhibit the function of a target RNA. Thus, in one aspect, the nucleic acid construct preferably comprises a sense and/or an antisense sequence for the target endogenous glutaredoxin gene and encodes double stranded RNA that inhibits the expression, activity, or function of the target endogenous glutaredoxin gene. In a further aspect, the nucleic acid construct will preferably comprise a sense sequence operably linked to its complementary antisense sequence and encoding double stranded RNA that inhibits expression, activity, or function of the target endogenous glutaredoxin gene.

Virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) can also be used to inhibit the expression, activity, or function of the target endogenous glutaredoxin gene. This technology uses plant viruses to express a small fragment of a host gene in the form of dsRNA in inoculated plants. The replication of the viral vector, which includes the target gene fragment, induces a 20 host response that knocks down or inhibits expression of the endogenous glutaredoxin gene. In these embodiments, the plant is inoculated with a viral vector comprising a sequence (sense or antisense) of the targeted endogenous glutaredoxin gene, which encodes for RNA that inhibits the expression, 25 activity, or function of the target gene to thereby produce the transgenic plant.

It will be appreciated that other known transgenic methods can also be utilized to silence the target gene, including microRNAs, artificial microRNAs, antisense RNA, or 30 T-DNA insertional inactivation of the target gene or associated promoter. Mutagenesis (e.g., insertions, deletions, and/or point mutations) of the target gene can also be used to disrupt gene function.

Advantageously, plants with a silenced endogenous glutaredoxin gene have a phenotype/morphology that is otherwise substantially similar to, and in some cases, nearly identical to wild type plants of the same species (when such wild type plants are grown under non-stress conditions). In other words, the shape, size, and/or abundance of foliage 40 and/or fruit/vegetable is substantially similar between the genetically-modified plants and wild type plants. In contrast, when grown under stress, silenced plants, have significantly improved morphologies as compared to control plants grown under the same conditions. In some embodiments, the 45 silenced plants have significantly improved drought tolerance

Additional advantages of the various embodiments of the invention will be apparent to those skilled in the art upon review of the disclosure herein and the working examples 50 below. It will be appreciated that the various embodiments described herein are not necessarily mutually exclusive unless otherwise indicated herein. For example, a feature described or depicted in one embodiment may also be included in other embodiments, but is not necessarily 55 included. Thus, the present invention encompasses a variety of combinations and/or integrations of the specific embodiments described herein.

As used herein, the phrase "and/or," when used in a list of two or more items, means that any one of the listed items can 60 be employed by itself or any combination of two or more of the listed items can be employed. For example, if a composition is described as containing or excluding components A, B, and/or C, the composition can contain or exclude A alone; B alone; C alone; A and B in combination; A and C in combination; B and C in combination.

12

A "control" plant, as used in the present invention, refers to a plant used to compare against transgenic or genetically modified plants according to the invention for the purpose of identifying changes in the transgenic or genetically modified plant. The control plant is of the same species as the non-naturally occurring plant. In some cases, the control plant may be a wild type (native) plant, although cultivars and genetically altered plants that otherwise have normal expression of glutaredoxin and/or abiotic stress tolerance can also be used a references for comparison. A "wild type" plant is a plant that has not been genetically modified or treated in an experimental sense. A "wild-type" gene is one that has the characteristics of a gene isolated from a naturally occurring source. A "wild-type" gene product is one that has the characteristics of a gene product isolated from a naturally occurring source, whereas "modified" genes or gene products are those having modifications in sequence and/or functional properties (i.e., altered characteristics) when compared to the wild-type gene or gene product. Likewise, "genetically-modified" cells, tissues, seeds, plants etc. are those that have been altered to include a transgene and/or to change the expression, activity, or function of the target genes or gene products, as opposed to non-modified cells, tissues, etc. The term is synonymous with "geneticallyengineered.'

The term gene "expression" is used herein to refer to the process of converting genetic information encoded in a gene into RNA (e.g., mRNA, rRNA, tRNA, or snRNA) through transcription of the gene (i.e., via the enzymatic action of an RNA polymerase), and into protein, through translation of mRNA. Gene expression can be regulated at many stages in the process. The term "overexpression" refers to the production of a gene product in transgenic plants that exceeds levels of production in normal, control, or non-transgenic plants. References to altered "levels" of expression refers to the production of gene product(s) in modified plants, such as transgenic plants, in amounts or proportions that differ from that of normal, control, or non-modified plants.

The "inhibition," "silencing," or "knock down" of the expression, activity, or function of a gene, as used herein, is intended to refer to any suitable method of reducing or even completely suppressing protein expression from a gene or a coding sequence, including methods of reducing the levels of protein produced as a result of gene transcription to mRNA and subsequent translation of the mRNA.

References herein to "genes" encompass both the partial (fragment) or complete coding sequence of a gene (including its cDNA sequence), its complement, and its 5' or 3' untranslated regions.

The term "operably linked" refers to the linkage of nucleic acid sequences in such a manner that a nucleic acid molecule capable of directing the transcription of a given gene and/or the synthesis of a desired protein molecule is produced. The term also refers to the linkage of amino acid sequences in such a manner so that a functional protein is produced

The term "vector" refers to nucleic acid molecules that transfer DNA segment(s) from one cell to another. The term includes recombinant DNA molecules containing a desired coding sequence(s) and appropriate nucleic acid sequences (e.g., promoters) necessary for the expression of the operably linked coding sequence in a particular host organism.

The term "transform" is used herein to refer to the introduction of foreign DNA into cells. Transformation may be accomplished by a variety of means known to the art and described herein.

A "sense" strand of nucleic acid construct refers to a strand that is transcribed by a cell in its natural state into a "sense" mRNA. The term "antisense" refers to a DNA sequence whose sequence of deoxyribonucleotide residues is complementary to all or part of the sequence of deoxy-5 ribonucleotide residues in a sense strand. Thus, an "antisense" sequence is a sequence having the same sequence as the non-coding strand in a DNA duplex. With respect to RNA, the term "antisense" refers to a RNA transcript that is complementary to all or part of a target primary transcript or mRNA and that blocks the expression of a target gene by interfering with the processing, transport and/or translation of its primary transcript or mRNA. The complementarity of an antisense RNA or DNA may be with any part of the 15 specific gene or transcript, i.e., at the 5' non-coding sequence, 3' non-coding sequence, introns, or the coding sequence.

The term "isolated" when used in relation to a nucleic acid, refers to a nucleic acid sequence that is identified and 20 separated from at least one contaminant nucleic acid with which it is ordinarily associated in its natural environment. That is, an isolated nucleic acid is one that is present in a form or setting that is different from that in which it is found in nature.

The terms "sequence identity" or "amino acid identity" are used herein to describe the sequence relationships between two or more nucleic acid or amino acid sequences when aligned for maximum correspondence over a specified comparison window. The percentage of "identity" is determined by comparing two optimally aligned sequences over the comparison window. For "optimal alignment" of the two sequences, it will be appreciated that the portion of the sequence in the comparison window may include gaps (e.g., 35 deletions or additions) as compared to the reference sequence, which does not contain additions or deletions. After alignment, the number of matched positions (i.e., positions where the identical nucleic acid base or amino acid residue occurs in both sequences) is determined and then 40 divided by the total number of positions in the comparison window. This result is then multiplied by 100 to calculate the percentage of sequence or amino acid identity. It will be appreciated that a sequence having a certain % of sequence identity to a reference sequence does not necessarily have to 45 have the same total number of nucleotides or amino acids (see e.g., microRNAs discussed above). Thus, a sequence having a certain level of "identity" includes sequences that correspond to only a portion (i.e., 5' non-coding regions, 3' non-coding regions, coding regions, etc.) of the reference 50

The present description also uses numerical ranges to quantify certain parameters relating to various embodiments of the invention. It should be understood that when numerical ranges are provided, such ranges are to be construed as providing literal support for claim limitations that only recite the lower value of the range as well as claim limitations that only recite the upper value of the range. For example, a disclosed numerical range of about 10 to about 100 provides literal support for a claim reciting "greater than about 10" (with no upper bounds) and a claim reciting "less than about 100" (with no lower bounds).

EXAMPLES

The following examples set forth methods in accordance with the invention. It is to be understood, however, that these

14

examples are provided by way of illustration and nothing therein should be taken as a limitation upon the overall scope of the invention.

Example 1

Protective Role of AtGRXS17 in Oxidative and Heat Stress Responses in Yeast

AtGRXS17 has a conserved Trx-HD and three tandem Grx-HDs, which are similar to yeast and mammalian monothiol Grxs, while yeast ScGrx3/ScGrx4 have one Grx-HD and a mammalian Grx3 has two repeated Grx-HDs at their C-termini. In yeast, ScGrx3 or ScGrx4 deletions do not affect cell growth; however, deletion of both ScGrx3 and ScGrx4 significantly reduce cell growth in either nutrient rich medium (YPD) or minimal medium (FIG. 1A). This impaired growth could be rescued by over-expression of either ScGrx3 or ScGrx4 (FIG. 1A). In order to examine if AtGRXS17 could complement yeast Grx3/Grx4 function in grx3grx4 double-mutant cells, AtGRXS17 and AtGRXS17-GFP (fusion protein with C-terminal green fluorescent protein) were expressed in the double mutant strain, respectively. Both AtGRXS17 and AtGRXS17-GFP could restore mutant cell growth (FIG. 1A).

Yeast Grx3 and Grx4 are required for cell survival under oxidative stress. In order to determine if AtGRXS17 could suppress the sensitivity of grx3grx4 cells to external oxidants, both AtGRXS17 and AtGRXS17-GFP were expressed in grx3grx4-mutant cells and grown in the media with or without 1.5 mM H₂O₂ and 0.5 mM diamide. In these conditions, both AtGRXS17 and AtGRXS17-GFP, like yeast Grx3, was able to rescue the growth of mutant cells in the presence of H₂O₂ or diamide (FIGS. 1B and C). Moreover, AtGRXS17-GFP was shown to be co-localized with yeast Grx3-RFP in the nuclei when expressed in grx3grx4 cells (FIG. 2).

Given the conserved function of monothiol AtGRXS17 in counteracting oxidative stress in yeast (FIGS. 1B and C), we sought to determine if the effects of AtGRXS17 on temperature stress responses are also conserved in yeast. In comparison to normal yeast growth conditions (30° C.), grx3grx4 mutant yeast cells grown at 50° C. for 20 min displayed a reduced survival rates as measured by cell number, and grown at 50° C. for 60 min further limited growth (FIG. 1D), indicating that heat stress impairs the growth of grx3grx4 mutant yeast cells. When yeast Grx3 or AtGRXS17 were expressed in the mutant cells, the cells were more tolerant to the temperature stress (FIG. 1D), indicating AtGRXS17 may also play a crucial role in the protective effects against elevated temperatures. These data strongly suggest that a conserved temperature and oxidative response pathway is mediated by monothiol AtGRXS17.

Yeast Assays.

The full-length cDNA of AtGRXS17 was amplified by using an AtGRXS17 forward primer (SEQ ID NO:8) and an AtGRXS17 reverse primer (SEQ ID NO:9). The fidelity of all clones was confirmed by sequencing. In order to express AtGRXS17 in yeast cells, the AtGRXS17 was subcloned into yeast expression vector, piUGpd. Saccharomyces cerevisiae wild type strain CML235 (MATa ura3-52 leu2Δ1 his3Δ200) and double mutant grx3grx4 (MATa ura3-52 leu2Δ1 his3Δ200 grx3::kanMX4 grx4::kanMX4) were provided by Dr. Enrique Herrero (Universitat de Lleida, Lleida, Spain) and used in all yeast experiments. Yeast growth assays followed the published protocol (Cheng et al., AtGRXcp, an Arabidopsis chloroplastic glutaredoxin, is

critical for protection against protein oxidative damage. J. Biol. Chem. 281, 26280-26288 (2006)). For AtGRXS17 subcellular localization in yeast assays, full-length AtGRXS17 was fused to the N-terminus of green fluorescent protein (GFP) using a procedure described previously by 5 Cheng et al., supra. The AtGRX3-GFP fusion protein was then subcloned into the yeast vector as described above. In the experiments, vector-, yGRX3-, and AtGRXS17-expressing grx3grx4 cells were grown in SC-Ura selection media overnight. 10⁷ cells from each culture were treated at 52° C. 10 for 0 min, 20 min, and 60 min and the cell cultures were diluted 10⁴ fold and plated on YPD media for growth of 3 days at 30° C., respectively. The numbers of colonies were counted. The bars in the graphs indicate S.D. (n=3). Student t test, *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001. AtGRX3-GFP was 15 imaged in colocalization with yeast Grx3-RFP. The fluorescence signals were detected at 510 nm (excitation at 488 nm) for GFP, at 582 nm (excitation at 543 nm) for DsRed.

Example 2

Response and Adaptation to Heat Stress in AtGRXS17-Expressing Tomato

Generation of AtGRXS17-Expressing Tomato Plants. To test whether AtGRXS17 may be used to improve thermotolerance of crops, we introduced a construct containing AtGRXS17 driven by the cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S promoter into tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum cv. Rubion). Seeds of tomato Lycopersicon esculentum 30 (cv. Rubion) were surface sterilized and germinated on the Murashige and Skoog (A Revised Medium for Rapid Growth and Bio Assays with Tobacco Tissue Cultures. Physiol. Plantarum 15, 473-497 (1962)) inorganic salt medium. Tomato transformation was performed via Agro- 35 bacterium-mediated transformation method using cotyledon and hypocotyls explants as previously described (Park et al. Efficient and genotype-independent Agrobacterium-mediated tomato transformation. J. Plant Physiol. 160, 1253-1257 (2003)). Agrobacterium tumefaciens LBA 4404 was 40 used for generating stable transgenic plants. The plasmid pCaMV35S::AtGRXS17 and pCaMV35S::AtGRXS17-GFP was introduced into A. tumefaciens using the freezethaw method (Holsters et al. Transfection and Transformation of Agrobacterium-Tumefaciens. Mol. Gen. Genet. 163, 45 181-187 (1978)). After inoculating with A. tumefaciens, the plant cultures were maintained at 25° C. under a 16-h photoperiod. After 6 to 8 weeks, regenerated shoots were transferred to rooting medium for 6 more weeks. The temperature of the greenhouse was maintained within a 50 range of 25° C. to 30° C.

More than 20 independent transgenic lines were generated and 4 morphologically normal lines containing single transgene insertions (based on Southern blot analysis) were selected for the further research (AtGRXS17 #3, 5, 6 and 9; 55 FIG. 3). For DNA and Southern-blot analysis, tomato genomic DNA was extracted from leaf tissue by Qiagen Plant DNA extraction kit. DNA (10 µg) was digested with XbaI and separated by electrophoresis and blotted onto a nylon membrane (Zeta-probe GT membrane, Bio-Rad Labo- 60 ratories) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The probe for the AtGRXS17 gene was isolated from a pGEM-T-easy vector contained AtGRXS17 by enzyme digestion. The membranes were prehybridized overnight 3 hours at 65° C. in 7% SDS and 0.25 M Na2HPO4, and then hybridized 65 overnight at 65° C. in the same solution containing the probe labeled by NEBlot Phototope Kit (New England Biolabs).

16

Membrane were washed twice for 40 min each with 20 mM Na2HPO4 and 5% SDS at 65° C. and then washed twice again for 30 min each with 20 mM Na2HPO4 and 1% SDS at 65° C. The signal was detected by Phototope-Star Detection Kit (New England Biolabs) according to the manufacture's instruction. The T1 seeds of these 4 lines showed a segregation pattern of 3:1 for the kanamycin resistance marker gene consistent with a single insertion.

To obtain homozygous T2 AtGRXS17 lines, segregation analysis on T2 seeds from self-pollinated T1 AtGRXS17 plants was carried out on 100 mg/liter kanamycin selection medium, and the expression of AtGRXS17 was examined by reverse transcriptase (RT)-PCR analysis (FIG. 4). Total RNA was isolated using the Qiagen Plant RNeasy kit from leaves of tomato plants according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA for real-time PCR was treated with RNase-free DNase prior to the synthesis of first-strand cDNA by oligo (dT) priming using moloney murine leukemia virus-reverse transcriptase (BD Biosciences Clontech, 20 Palo Alto, Calif., USA). One microliter of the reverse transcription reaction solution was used as a template in a 25 μL PCR solution. Real-time PCR was performed in 25 μL reactions contain 10 μL cDNA, 0.4 μM of each primer, and 12.5 µL SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Analysis was performed using the Bio-Rad IQ3 (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Primer efficiencies were measured and relative expression level was calculated using the comparative Ct method. The primers for PCR are listed in the Table in FIG. 5.

Subcellular Localization of AtGRXS17 with or without Heat Stress.

To investigate the subcellular localization of AtGRXS17 in plant cells, the GFP-AtGRXS17 fusion was transiently expressed in epidermal cells of tobacco leaves (Nicotiana benthamiana) through Agrobacterium-infiltration. Fulllength AtGRXS17 was fused to the C-terminus of green fluorescent protein (GFP) using a procedure described previously (Cheng et al. 2006). The GFP-AtGRXS17 construct was made by LR reaction (Invitrogen) between the binary vector pB7WGF2 (Karimi et al. GATEWAYTM vectors for Agrobacterium-mediated plant transformation. Trends Plant Sci. 7, 193-195. (2002)), and the entry vector carrying AtGRXS17 (pENTR-4, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, Calif.). pB7WGF2/GFP-AtGRXS17 was introduced into Agrobacterium strain GV3101 and then used for agro-infiltration as previously described (Liu et al. The tobacco mosaic virus 126-kilodalton protein, a constituent of the virus replication complex, alone or within the complex aligns with and traffics along microfilaments. Plant Physiol. 138, 1853-1865. (2005)). For heat shock treatment, at 1.5 to 2 days post-infiltration (DPI), the infiltrated leaves were detached from tobacco plants, kept in Petri dishes with the moistened filter paper, and incubated at 25° C. or 42° C. for 90 min, respectively.

The GFP-AtGRXS17 signals were primarily detected in the cytoplasm and the nuclear envelope, whereas relatively weaker signal could be detected in the nucleus under normal growth conditions (FIG. 6). At increased temperatures, GFP-AtGRXS17 predominately accumulated in the nucleus, whereas free GFP targeted to nuclei independent of heat treatment (FIG. 6).

In order to further investigate the AtGRXS17 subcellular localization in tomato plants, we generated 4 stable AtGRXS17-GFP-expressing tomato plants driven by CaMV35S promoter. For AtGRXS17-GFP localization in tomato stomatal cells, 30-day-old stable AtGRXS17-GFP-expressing plants were treated by either heat or control

treatments before observation under microscopy. All AtGRXS17-GFP-expressing tomato plants showed significantly improved thermotolerance in comparison with wild type plants (FIG. 7). In addition, the expression of AtGRXS17-GFP could be detected by immunoblot using a 5 GFP-antibody (FIG. 8). During a heat stress, we could detect GFP signals in the nucleus of a number of stomatal (FIG. 9), while no GFP signals in the nuclei could be detected under normal growth conditions. Together, these data indicate that AtGRXS17 translocate from the cytoplasm into the nucleus 10 under heat stress. Images in this Example were captured with a confocal laser scanning system (Leica, SP5 X) and fluorescence microscope (Zeiss AxioPlan). The fluorescence signals were detected at 510 nm (excitation at 488 nm) for GFP, at 582 nm (excitation at 543 nm) for DsRed.

Response and Adaptation to Heat Stress in AtGRXS17-Expressing Tomato.

For heat tolerance experiment, each of 35 homozygous T2 AtGRXS17 plants derived from selected four T1 transgenic lines (AtGRXS17 #3, 5, 6 and 9) were analyzed. T2 gen-20 eration AtGRXS17-expressing transgenic or wild type tomato seeds were surface sterilized and germinated on MS medium with 100 mg/L kanamycin or without kanamycin, respectively. Positive candidates were selected after 15 days growing medium and grown in the growth chamber with the temperature of 25° C./22° C. (day/night) under a 16-h photoperiod. The plants were regularly watered and fertilized on a weekly basis with 20:20:20 fertilizer (Scotts). The 4-weeks-old seedlings were treated under 38° C./28° C. 30 (day/night) for 3 days and then 42° C./32° C. (day/night) for 3 more days. The growth and development of AtGRXS17expressing tomato plants were indistinguishable from those of wild-type plants before heat treatment (FIG. 10). Moreover, expression of AtGRXS17 did not affect fruit shape and 35 yield (FIG. 10). AtGRXS17-expressing tomatoes displayed robust growth and heat tolerance after 3 days at 38/28° C. (day/night, 16-h photoperiod) followed by 3 days at 42/32° C. (day/night, 16-h photoperiod), whereas the wild-type plants were severely damaged during these growth condi- 40 tions (FIG. 11). In addition, the transgenic plants were recovered when transferred to 25° C./22° C. (day/night) for growth (FIG. 12).

The enhanced heat stress tolerance of the transgenic plants was further verified by measuring changes in chlo- 45 rophyll fluorescence and electrolyte leakage of leaves. Chlorophyll fluorescence from the adaxial side of the leaf was monitored using a portable chlorophyll fluorometer (PEA, Hansatech Instruments, Ltd., UK). Photochemical efficiency of leaves as determined by chlorophyll fluorescence ratios 50 (Fv/Fm) was monitored during and after the heat treatment. Measurements were made during the light cycle on the leaves using the saturation pulse method after 30 min of dark adaption. For electrolyte leakage, leaf samples were incubated in 15 ml of distilled water for 10 h to measure the 55 initial electrolyte leakage using an YSI conductance meter (Model 32, YSI, Inc., Yellow Springs, Ohio, USA). The samples were subjected to 80° C. for 2 h to release the total electrolytes and then held at room temperature for 10 h. The final conductivity on the leachate was measured to deter- 60 mine the percent electrolyte leakage from the leaf samples. The chlorophyll fluorescence Fv/Fm ratio, indicating the maximum quantum efficiency of Photosystem II, were similar in the AtGRXS17-expressing transgenic plants and the wild plants during the initial 3 d treatment; however, following 3 d at 42° C./32° C. (day/night), the Fv/Fm ratio of wild type plants was significantly lower than that of

AtGRXS17-expressing transgenic plants (FIG. 13A). Moreover, the electrolyte leakage of wild type plants was significantly higher than that of AtGRXS17-expressing transgenic plants, indicating increased plasma membrane integrity and reduced disruption of cell membranes in the transgenic lines (FIG. 13B).

The enhanced heat stress tolerance of the AtGRXS17expressing transgenic plants compared with wild-type plants was also confirmed by measuring above-ground fresh and dry weight of the plants. The fresh and dry weight of wild-type and AtGRXS17-expressing transgenic plants did not show significant difference when grown under normal temperature for 2 months. However, after being treated by heat stress, the AtGRXS17-expressing transgenic plants displayed significantly higher fresh and dry weight yields than those of the wild-type plants (FIG. 14). The transgenic plants demonstrated more robust recovery when transferred to 25/22° C. (day/night, 16-h photoperiod), and the yield of the AtGRXS17-expressing tomatoes was indistinguishable from that of wild-type controls under normal growth con-

Catalase Enzyme Activity and Accumulation of H₂O₂ in AtGRXS17-Expressing Tomato.

Heat stress is accompanied by the formation of ROS such and transferred to pots containing Miracle Gro (700) soil 25 as O_2^- , H_2O_2 , and OH radicals which damage membranes and macromolecules. To investigate the potential mechanism by which AtGRXS17 improved thermotolerance in tomato, we measured H₂O₂ accumulation by using 3,3'diaminobenzidine (DAB) staining leaves from each of 2 homozygous T2 AtGRXS17 lines (AtGRXS17 #6 and 9). Leaves from 4-weeks-old wild type and AtGRXS17-expressing tomato plants were used for the DAB (3, 3'-diaminobenzidine) staining. For the in vivo detection, the tomato plants were treated by 42° C./32° C. (day/night) for 48 hours, and then the leaves were cut and incubated in water containing 1 mg/mL DAB solution (pH 3.8) at 25° C. in the dark for 1 h to take up the stain. For the in vitro detection, tomato leaves were cut and immediately immersed into water containing 1 mg/mL DAB solution (pH 3.8) and kept at 25° C. (for control) and 42° C. (for heat treatment) in the dark for 3 hours. Then the leaves were bleached by immersing in boiling 95% ethanol to visualize the brown spots.

> In both the in vitro and in vivo tests, the leaves of AtGRXS17-expressing plants displayed less intense staining than that of the controls under the heat stress regime, indicating less H₂O₂ accumulation in the transgenic lines (FIG. 15). Although glutaredoxins have been known to perform their functions as antioxidants, it is interesting to investigate the effects of ectopic expression of AtGRXS17 in tomato on the activities of other antioxidant components. We next compared to the peroxidase (POD), catalase (CAT), and glutathione reductase (GR) activities of wild type and AtGRXS17-expressing plants in both normal growth conditions and a heat stress. Four-week-old seedlings were treated by 42° C./32° C. (day/night) for 4 days, and then the shoots were harvested and homogenized (1:5 m/v) in liquid nitrogen. The resulting powder was suspended in an ice cold protein extraction buffer made by 50 mM PBS, 1% PVP and 1 mM PMSF, and centrifuged at 13000×g for 15 min at 4° C. The protein concentration was measured by BCA protein assay kit (Pierce). The activity of CAT was assayed by measuring the rate of disappearance of H₂O₂ at 240 nm, following the method of Ouyang et al. (Receptor-like kinase OsSIK1 improves drought and salt stress tolerance in rice (Oryza sativa) plants. Plant Journal 62, 316-329 (2010)). One unit of the CAT activity was defined as 0.01 absorbance

decrease per minute at 240 nm. There were 6 biological replicates for each data points. The activities of CAT, but not those of GR and POD, were significantly increased in all AtGRXS17-expressing lines when compared to wild type plants after heat treatment. These data indicate that CAT activity is significantly highly induced by expression of AtGRXS17, suggesting AtGRXS17 may regulate CAT activity (FIG. 16). Together, these results demonstrate that ectopic expression of AtGRXS17 protects tomatoes from a heat stress by reducing ROS accumulation through its own 10 antioxidant activity and higher activity of CAT than that of

Expression Analysis of HSPs in AtGRXS17-Expressing Tomato.

The induction of HSPs is one of the predominant events 15 of response to temperature stress and these HSPs perform important physiological functions as molecular chaperones for protein quality control, such as preventing the aggregation of denatured proteins and promoting the renaturation of aggregated protein molecules caused by high temperature. 20 Given that AtGRXS17-expressing tomatoes are highly tolerant to heat stress, a possible interaction between AtGRXS17 and HSPs was further investigated.

To evaluate how the AtGRXS17-expressing tomato in response to heat stress influences expression levels of HSPs, 25 HSP21 (nuclear coding gene located at chloroplast), LeER-HSP21.5 (endoplasmic reticulum-located small heat shock protein), and LeMTSHP (mitochondrial small heat shock protein) were selected for real time quantitative PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis (FIG. 5). After 3 days at 38° C./28° C. 30 (day/night), both wild-type and AtGRXS17-expressing tomato (AtGRXS17 #6 and 9) showed significantly increased expression of all selected HSPs as compared to normal growth condition (25° C./22° C.) (FIG. 17). Morewild-type and AtGRXS17-expressing tomato also showed significantly increased expression of all selected HSPs, exhibiting >100-fold elevated expression, as compared to after 3 d at 38° C./28° C.; however, expression levels of all selected HSPs in the AtGRXS17-expressing tomato plants 40 were significantly higher than those of the wild-type plants after 1 d at extreme high temperatures (42° C./32° C.), exhibiting >2.5 to 4-fold elevated expression. These data suggest that AtGRXS17 predominantly stimulates up-regulation of the HSPs involved in plant heat stress responses 45 and thermotolerance.

Expression Analysis of HSFs in AtGRXS17-Expressing Tomato.

Heat shock transcription factors (HSFs) are the terminal components of signal transduction regulating the expression 50 of HSPs and play an important role in heat stress responses and thermotolerance of plants. Given that AtGRXS17-expressing tomatoes showed highly elevated expression levels of all tested HSPs under heat stress, a possible interaction between AtGRXS17 and HSFs was also further investigated. 55 In this study, we selected two different tomato HSFs, HsfA1a and HsfA2, for qRT-PCR analysis (FIG. 5). HsfA1a has been defined as a master regulator of heat stress response in tomato, whereas HsfA2 is an important HSF for thermotolerance. After 3 d at 38° C./28° C. (modest heat treatment), 60 AtGRXS17-expressing tomato (AtGRXS17 #9) showed significantly increased expression of both LeHSFA1a and LeHSFA2 as compared to wild-type (FIG. 17). However, after 3 d at modest heat treatment plus 1 d at 42° C./32° C., AtGRXS17-expressing tomato (AtGRXS17 #6) showed sig- 65 nificantly increased expression of both LeHSFA1a and LeHSFA2 as compared to wild-type and AtGRXS17 #9 line

20

(FIG. 17). These data suggest that AtGRXS17 also stimulates up-regulation of the HSFs, although AtGRXS17-expressing tomato lines could show different temporal expression patterns of the HSFs in response to the degree of heat

Response and Adaptation to Cold Stress in AtGRXS17-Expressing Tomato.

AtGRXS17-expressing tomatoes grew after 1 d at 2° C. followed by 13 d at 4° C., whereas the wild type plants were severely damaged by the cold stress. In addition, the transgenic plants were recovered when transferred to 25° C./22° C. (day/night) for growth. The enhanced cold stress tolerance of the transgenic plants was further verified by measuring changes in chlorophyll fluorescence and electrolyte leakage.

Response and Adaptation to Drought Stress in AtGRXS17-Expressing Tomato.

Drought stress phenotypes were assayed by imposing a 10 d water deficit stress period, after which irrigation of both control and AtGRXS17-expressing tomatoes were withheld. AtGRXS17-expressing tomatoes did not display deleterious phenotypes after withholding irrigation for 10 d, whereas the wild type plants were severely damaged by the drought stress. Transgenic plants maintained greater shoot water potentials during imposed soil water deficits and the Fv/Fm ratio of wild type plants was significantly lower than that of AtGRXS17-expressing transgenic plants.

Example 3

Response and Adaptation to Heat Stress in AtGRXS17-Expressing Rice

In this experiment, rice (Oryza sativa L. var. japonica) cv. over, after 3 d at 38° C./28° C. plus 1 d at 42° C./32° C., both 35 Nipponbare was used for producing transgenic rice with AtGRXS17 driven by the CaMV 35S promoter. Rice transgenic lines were generated via Agrobacterium-mediated transformation using mature seed-derived callus as previously established in the Park laboratory (Park et al., 2000; Park et al., 2001). Calli derived from mature seed on MSD medium with Murashige and Skoog (1962) inorganic salts, 100 mg/liter myo-inocitol, 0.5 mg/liter nicotinic acid, 0.5 mg/liter pyridoxin, 0.1 mg/liter thiamine-HCl, 1.0 mg/liter 2,4-D, 30 g/liter sucrose, and 0.8% (w/v) agar at pH 5.7 was cocultivated with A. tumefaciens (LBA4404) on the MSD medium at pH 5.2. The binary vector plasmid (pVKH18En6, gifted from John Runion's lab) with 35S::AtGRXS17 was used. After 2 days co-cultivation, calli were transferred to the MSD medium covered with sterile filter paper for 3 more days. After co-cultivation for a total of 5 days, the calli were transferred to MSD medium containing 250 mg/liter Clavamox (amoxicillin trihydrate) for 1 week. Calli were then transferred to selection medium, MSD medium containing 250 mg/liter Clavamox and 50 mg/liter Hygromycin (Roche). After subsequent rounds of selection, proliferating calli was divided and transferred to regeneration medium containing MS inorganic salts, 100 mg/liter myo-inocytol, 0.5 mg/liter nicotinic acid, 0.5 mg/liter pyridoxin, 0.1 mg/liter thiamine.HCl, 1.0 mg/liter kinetin, 0.2 mg/liter NAA, 250 mg/liter Clavamox, 50 mg/liter hygromycin, 30 g/liter sucrose, and 0.8% (w/v) agar. Cultures were maintained at 25° C. for 16 h under 60-80 μmol m⁻¹s⁻¹ light. After 8-10 weeks, regenerated shoots were transferred to rooting medium containing 250 mg/liter Clavamox and 50 mg/liter hygromycin for 4 more weeks, then established in soil.

> AtGRXS17-expressing rice grew more healthy after 3 d at 38° C./28° C. (day/night) followed by 14 d at 42° C./32° C.

21

(day/night), whereas the wild type plants were severely damaged by the same growth conditions (FIG. 18). In addition, the transgenic plants were recovered when transferred to 25° C./22° C. (day/night) for growth (data not shown).

Discussion Examples 1-3

In the present study, glutaredoxin AtGRXS17 has been characterized and the data demonstrates that AtGRXS17 is 10 a critical mediator of heat stress-related genes and influences heat stress responses by protecting against oxidative damage in cells. In addition, the data indicates that some glutaredoxin's functions are conserved across species. Yeast, as well as monocot and dicot plants with mutants in glutare- 15 doxins are sensitive to redox stress and elevated temperatures. Furthermore, expression of AtGRXS17 in yeast, tomato, and rice cells suppressed these sensitivities. The data suggests a model where AtGRXS17 functions to alter ROS signals and controls thermotolerance. The results indi- 20 cate that ectopic expression of AtGRXS17 reduced the heat-induced H₂O₂ accumulation in tomato leaves, and AtGRXS17 may function in ROS scavenging.

Example 4

Drought Tolerance of AtGRXS17-Expressing Rice

functional characterization of Arabidopsis AtGRXS17 and rice OsGRXS17 (SEQ ID NO:5), two 30 1. Bacterial Strains for Plant Transformation CGFS-type genes, is reported. Mutation of AtGRXS17 in Arabidopsis and reduced expression of OsGRXS17 (SEQ ID NO:6) by RNA-mediated silencing (residues 1295-1688 of SEQ ID NO:7) in rice significantly increased plant tolerance to drought stress. Ectopic expression of AtGRXS17 in rice 35 also led to enhanced drought tolerance in the plants. Wildtype (WT), AtGRXS17-expressing, and OsGRXS17-silencing rice plants from the japonica rice (Oryza sativa L. cv. Nipponbare) were used in this study. Wild-type (WT) and atgrxs17 KO Arabidopsis thaliana plants were from the 40 Columbia (Col-0) ecotype. Seeds were surface-sterilized, germinated and grown on Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium with 30 g/liter sucrose and 2 g/liter Gelrite in a growth chamber [16 h light, 100 µmol m⁻²s⁻¹, 28±2° C. (rice) and 22±2° C. (Arabidopsis)].

First, 5 weeks of Arabidopsis seedlings were subjected to drought stress by withholding water for 20 d in the growth chamber, and recovery was initiated by placing pots in a shallow tray of water. Plant viability was evaluated 12 d after initiation of recovery under normal growth conditions. 50 Plants were scored as viable if one or more new leaves appear during the recovery period. None of the wild type plants survived (0% survival rate). Of the 60 AtGRXS17 KO plants, 39 survived the drought stress (65% survival rate)

Transgenic rice with ectopic expression of AtGRXS17 was subjected to drought stress. Four-week old rice seedlings (transgenic line 7 and WT) were used in this experiment. Drought stress was applied by withholding water for 11 d in the growth chamber, and recovery was initiated by 60 placing pots in a shallow tray of water. The recover of the plants after rewatering was observed. Recovery was based upon relative water content (as measured from when the wilting first occurred during drought stress) and recover of fresh mass 14 days after rewatering. Plant survival rate was 65 evaluated on the 14th day after rewatering under normal growth conditions. Plants were scored as viable if one or

22

more new leaves appear during the recovery period. None of the wild type plants survived the drought stress (0% survival rate). Of the 12 initial plants, 5 survived the drought stress (41.67% survival rate) (FIG. 20).

Four-week old rice seedlings (transgenic lines RNAi-6, -7, -8, and -13 with reduced expression of OsGRXS17 by RNA-mediated silencing and WT) were used in this experiment (FIG. 21). Methods of drought stress treatment and analysis of recovery and survival were the same as described above for the AtGRXS17-expressing plants. The results are shown in FIGS. 22-24. The survival results are shown in the Table below.

	Total plants	# Survived plants	Survival rate (%)
WT	10	0	0
RNAi-6	10	1	10
RNAi-7	10	3	30
RNAi-8	10	3	30
RNAi-13	10	5	50

Example 5

Expression of AtGRXS17 in Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) Enhances Tolerance to Multiple Abiotic Stresses

AtGRXS17 coding region was cloned into a pBICaMV vector as described (Wu et al. 2012). The CBF3 coding region was also cloned into pMDC99 vector as described (Feng et al. A three-component gene expression system and its application for inducible flavonoid overproduction in transgenic Arabidopsis thaliana. PLoS ONE 6: e17603 (2011)), and binary plasmids pMDC-CBF3 and pBICaMV-AtGRXS17 were introduced into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain LBA4404 using the freeze-thaw method.

2. Tomato Transformation

Seeds of tomato Solanum lycopersicum L. (cv Rubion) were surface sterilized and germinated on the Murashige and Skoog (MS) inorganic salt medium. Tomato transformation was performed via Agrobacterium-mediated transformation method using cotyledon and hypocotyls explants as described. A. tumefaciens LBA 4404 strains containing pBICaMV-AtGRXS17 or pMDC-CBF3 were used for generating stable transgenic lines.

3. Growth Condition and Tolerance Analyses of Tomato

T2 generation of AtGRXS17-expressing, AtCBF3-expressing, or wild-type tomato seeds were surface-sterilized, germinated, and grown on pots containing Miracle Gro (700) soil growing medium in growth chamber. The temperature of the growth chamber was maintained at 24° C./20° C. (day/night) under a 16-h photoperiod, and the light intensity was maintained at 300 µmol/m²/sec. The plants were regularly watered and fertilized on a weekly basis with 20:20:20 fertilizer (Scotts, Marysville, Ohio). For the drought treatment, 4-week-old AtGRXS17-expressing and wild-type seedlings were withheld from watering for 12 days, and then re-watered. The phenotype and the chlorophyll fluorescence were measured during the drought treatment. For the cold treatment, 4-week-old AtGRXS17-expressing, AtCBF3-expressing or wild-type seedlings were treated at 4° C. (day/night) for 3 weeks in a walk-in growth chamber and then recovered in normal growth conditions for 5 days. Chlorophyll fluorescence was measured during the

first 7-d chilling treatment. For oxidative stress treatment, 7-day-old AtGRXS17-expressing and wild-type seedlings grown on the MS media were transferred into the MS medium with or without 20 M methyl viologen (MV) in Magenta boxes and incubated for 14 days. The primary root blength was then measured, and total RNA was extracted from the leaves.

4. Time-Course Analysis of Cold and Drought Stress-Responsive Genes

Cold and drought treatments were applied using 14-dayold AtGRXS17-expressing and wild-type seedlings grown in Petri dishes with MS medium. The treatment and sampling were designed according to previous reports of SIAREB1 and SICBF1 with slight modifications (Zhang et al., Freezing-sensitive tomato has a functional CBF cold response pathway, but a CBF regulon that differs from that of freezing-tolerant Arabidopsis. The transcription factor SIAREB1 confers drought, salt stress tolerance and regulates biotic and abiotic stress-related genes in tomato. For 20 cold treatment, the seedlings were moved to a growth chamber set at 4° C. The seedlings were harvested at 0, 0.5, 2, 4, 8, 24, and 48 h, respectively. For drought treatment, the seedlings were transferred and incubated in Petri dishes containing two layers of dry filter paper for 0, 0.5, 2, 4, 8, 25 and 24 h, respectively. The seedlings after cold or drought treatments were harvested, and the RNA was extracted using the method described below.

5. RNA Extraction and qRT-PCR

Total RNA was isolated using the Qiagen Plant RNeasy kit from leaves of tomato plants according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA for real time qRT-PCR was treated with RNase-free DNase prior to the synthesis of first-strand cDNA by oligo (dT) priming using moloney murine leukaemia virus-reverse transcriptase (BD Biosciences Clontech, Palo Alto, Calif., USA). One µL of the reverse transcription reaction solution was used as a template in a 25 μL PCR solution. Real-time PCR was performed in 25 µL reactions contain 10.5 uL cDNA. 1 uL 10 mM of each primer, and 12.5 40 uL SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, Calif.). Analysis was performed using the Bio-Rad IQ3 (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Primer efficiencies were measured and relative expression level was calculated using the comparative Ct method. SIPP2ACS was uses as a normal- 45 ization control. The primers for PCR were listed in the Table in FIG. 25.

6. Electrolyte Leakage and Fv/Fm Ratio

Injury to plants was characterized by measuring chlorophyll fluorescence and electrolyte leakage of leaves. Chlo-50 rophyll fluorescence from the adaxial side of the leaf was monitored using a portable chlorophyll fluorometer (PEA, Hansatech Instruments, Ltd., UK). Photochemical efficiency of leaves as determined by chlorophyll fluorescence ratios (Fv/Fm) was monitored during and after the cold or drought treatment. Measurements were made during the light cycle on the leaves using the saturation pulse method after 30 min of dark adaption. For electrolyte leakage, tomato leaf samples were incubated in 15 ml of distilled water for 10 h 60 to measure the initial electrolyte leakage using an YSI conductance meter (Model 32, YSI, Inc., Yellow Springs, Ohio, USA). The samples were subjected to 80° C. for 2 h to release the total electrolytes and then held at room temperature for 10 h. The final conductivity of the leachate 65 was measured to determine the percent electrolyte leakage from the leaf samples.

7. Shoot Water Content

Shoot water content (SWC) was expressed as the difference between leaf fresh weight and dry weight, and calculated as follows: SWC=(fresh weight-dry weight)/dry weight.

Results

1. Response and Adaptation to Drought Stress in AtGRXS17-Expressing Tomato

We initially tested if AtGRXS17-expressing tomato plants, which showed thermotolerance in examples above, confer drought tolerance. The AtGRXS17-expressing plants driven by the cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S promoter had been generated previously, and homozygous lines (AtGRXS17-3, -5, -6 and -9) that contained single transgene insertions and displayed strong thermotolerance were used in this study. Four-week-old wild-type and AtGRXS17expressing tomato plants (30 homozygous T2 plants derived from each of the four T1 transgenic lines) were subjected to drought treatment by withholding the water. Both wild-type and AtGRXS17-expressing tomato plants were affected by the drought stress. However, visible damage in wild-type plants in terms of leaf desiccation and wilt symptoms was more severe than that of AtGRXS17-expressing tomato plants (FIG. 26A). Concomitantly, AtGRXS17-expressing lines showed higher shoot water content compared to wildtype plants after 10-day drought treatment (FIG. 26B). In addition, AtGRXS17-expressing tomato plants showed higher Fv/Fm ratio compared to wild-type plants (FIG. 26C).

Effects of AtGRXS17 expression on the ABA signal pathway during drought stress were measured by conducting a time-course expression assay of ABA-dependent transcription factor gene SIAREB1. SIAREB1 expression peaked at 4 h and then started to decrease in both wild-type and transgenic lines. However, the abundance of SIAREB1 in AtGRXS17-expressing lines remained at a higher level than that of wild-type plants (FIG. 27).

2. Response and Adaptation to Cold Stress in AtGRXS17-Expressing Tomato

To test cold tolerance, 4-week-old AtGRXS17-expressing (AtGRXS17-3, -5, -6 and -9) and wild-type tomato plants were treated at 4° C. for 3 weeks. For comparison, AtCBF3expressing tomatoes were generated and treated simultaneously. CBF3 is a well characterized transcription factor that confers cold tolerance. The AtCBF3-expressing tomato plants (lines AtCBF3-2 and -3) were confirmed by PCR (FIG. 28) using HYG primers (SEQ ID NOs: 28-29). Thirty homozygous T2 plants derived from each of the six T1 transgenic lines (AtGRXS17-3, -5, -6 and -9 and AtCBF3-2 and -3) were analyzed, and the wild-type tomato plants showed more severe visible damage than AtCBF3- or AtGRXS17-expressing tomato plants (FIG. 29A, B, C). However, AtGRXS17-expressing tomato plants showed more vigorous growth than AtCBF3-expressing plants upon recovery for 5 days under normal growth condition [24/20° C. (day/night)] (FIG. 29C). The visual enhanced cold tolerance of both AtGRXS17- and AtCBF3-expressing plants was accompanied by lower electrolyte leakage when compared to wild-type after cold treatment, indicating reduced disruption of cell membranes in the transgenic lines (FIG. 30A). In addition, the chlorophyll fluorescence of both AtGRXS17- and AtCBF3-expressing plants was higher than that of wild-type (FIG. 30B). The phenotype of the AtGRXS17-expressing tomatoes is indistinguishable from the wild-type plants under normal growth conditions as

shown in the Examples above, while AtCBF3-expressing tomato plants displayed stunted shape as compared to wild-type plants (FIG. 31).

Three tomato CBF genes have been characterized, and only SICBF1 among those genes is strongly induced by cold 5 treatment. SICBF1 expression levels in both wild-type and AtGRXS17-expressing lines peaked at 4 h after cold stress, and no significant differences in expression were observed between wild-type and AtGRXS17-expressing lines (FIG. 32). However, expression levels of SICBF1 in AtGRXS17-expressing lines returned more rapidly to resting level, in comparison to wild-type lines (FIG. 32).

3. AtGRXS17-Expressing Tomato Plants Improved Tolerance to Oxidative Stress

We hypothesized that AtGRXS17-expressing tomato 15 plants might improve tolerance to oxidative stress as well. To examine this, 7-day-old wild-type and AtGRXS17-expressing tomato seedlings were incubated in MS media with or without methyl viologen (MV), a pro-oxidant herbicide that stimulates formation of ROS. The results showed that 20 after 14 d of treatment, AtGRXS17-expressing tomato seedlings displayed more vigorous growth and had longer primary roots as compared to wild-type seedlings (FIG. 33), indicating that AtGRXS17 reduced oxidative damages caused by excess ROS accumulation.

Catalase (CAT) plays important roles in ROS scavenging by converting $\rm H_2O_2$ to $\rm O_2$ and $\rm H_2O$, and previous results indicated that ectopic expression of AtGRXS17 in tomato increases CAT activity under heat stress. To determine if the SICAT1 expression level was altered under oxidative stress, 30 qRT-PCR analysis was performed. The results demonstrated that the expression of SICAT1 was significantly up-regulated in AtGRXS17-expressing lines as compared to wild-type after being treated by MV (FIG. 34).

Discussion Example 5

In this study, we investigated the effects of AtGRXS17 on drought and cold stress and demonstrated that ectopically expressed AtGRXS17 in tomato plants enhanced tolerance 40 to cold, drought, and oxidative stress. Plants counteract abiotic stresses by modulating/interacting signaling pathways. The CBF/DREB1 pathway is a well-characterized cold response pathway, and extensive efforts have been made to improve cold (freezing and/or chilling) tolerance by 45 manipulating CBF expression across different species. However, the CBF regulon differs between species, limiting the effectiveness of CBFs for engineering cold tolerant crop species. Expression of CBF3/DREB1a or SICBF1 in Arabidopsis, for example, improves freezing tolerance while 50 constitutive expression of either SICBF1 or AtCBF3 in transgenic tomato plants does not increase freezing tolerance. However, here we showed that expression of AtCBF3 in tomato improved chilling tolerance in comparison to wild-type plants. Nonetheless, AtCBF3-expressing plants 55 showed less protection against chilling stress than AtGRXS17-expressing tomato plants.

The induction of CBFs is one of the predominant responses to cold stress. Interestingly, our results demonstrated that ectopic expression of AtGRXS17 in tomato 60 alters the response of endogenous SICBF1 expression under chilling stress conditions. In addition, the elevated expression of SICBF1 in AtGRXS17-expressing lines returned more rapidly to resting levels compared to wild-type lines, suggesting a potential direct correlation between AtGRXS17 65 and SICBF1 in CBF signaling pathways under chilling stress. Despite the pivotal role of CBFs in cold tolerance,

26

constitutive expression of either AtCBF3 or SICBF1 in both *Arabidopsis* and tomato plants results in stunted growth. As demonstrated here, AtCBF3-expressing tomato plants also displayed stunted growth under normal growth condition. Feedback regulation by CBF plays an important role in regulating CBFs during normal cold acclimation. For example, CBF2 is a negative regulator of CBF1 and CBF3 expression during cold acclimation, while CBF3 negatively regulates CBF2 expression. In addition, MBY15 and ZAT12 also appear to function as negative regulator of CBFs. The lack of stunted growth in plants expressing AtGRXS17 indicates that the protein may both induce CBF1 expression and meliorate deleterious effects of the consequential rise in ROS. AtGRXS17 may have advantages for enhanced cold tolerance in different species.

Various strategies have been proposed for improving drought tolerance including promoting a vigorous root system, inducing stomatal closure, adjusting osmolytes, and minimizing oxidative damages. Ectopic expression of AtGRXS17 in tomato enhances drought tolerance presumably by up-regulating a key antioxidant gene SICAT1 to improve ROS scavenging capability in plant cells or enhancing vigorous root system or both. Expression of AtGRXS17 may also alter endogenous drought responsive pathways, such as the ABA pathway, and, therefore, lead to improve drought tolerance in tomato plants. As part of the drought responsive signaling pathways, ABA plays a key role in regulating gene expression and stomatal aperture. Members of the AREB family have been implicated as essential components in ABA signaling pathway. For example, a protein of AREB family in tomato, SIAREB1, has been characterized and demonstrated to confer drought tolerance when overexpression in tomato, indicating SIAREB1 is an important component of ABA-dependent drought tolerance 35 (Orellana et al. 2010). A dramatic up-regulation of SIAREB1 under drought stress was also observed in this study. SIAREB1 expression in AtGRXS17-expressing and wildtype tomato plants peak at 4 h. However, the abundance of SIAREB1 in AtGRXS17-expressing lines remains at a higher level longer than that of wild-type plants as shown by the data herein, suggesting that there may be a tight interaction between AtGRXS17 and ABA signaling during drought stress, which warrants further investigation.

AtGRXS17 relieves the defective growth of primary roots associated with increased accumulation or application of ROS. ROS are known to be accumulated during various abiotic stresses including both cold and drought stress, causing damage to cell membranes and other cellular components including DNA, proteins, and carbohydrates. Therefore, expression of AtGRXS17 into tomato plants may play an important role for the coordination of signaling and scavenging of ROS, resulting in enhanced tolerance to multiple abiotic stresses. Catalase, an H₂O₂ scavenger, is one of the most important enzymes in ROS-scavenging system. Ectopic expression of AtGRXS17 in tomato results in a more rapid response of SICAT1 to oxidative stress compared to wild-type plants. Similarly, the activity of CAT was increased in the AtGRXS17-expressing plants when compared to wild-type plants after heat treatment as shown by the examples above. Therefore, AtGRXS17 may regulate CAT at the transcriptional and/or posttranscriptional level under abiotic stress conditions that give rise to oxidative

Ectopic expression of a member of the glutaredoxin family, AtGRXS17, in tomato enhances tolerance to multiple abiotic stresses. Our working model for the effects of AtGRXS17-mediated tolerance to drought, cold, and oxida-

tive stress involves both the regulation of stress response pathways and the enzymatic function of AtGRXS17 on ROS. Expression of AtGRXS17 inhibits CBF responsiveness during cold stress, but induces ABRE responsiveness during drought stress. Both cold and drought stress trigger 5 the accumulation of ROS, causing oxidative stress. AtGRXS17 protects plant cells from oxidative stress and the

protection against oxidative stress may be partly mediated through the up-regulation of CAT expression. Due to the universal existence of glutaredoxins in plant species, manipulation of glutaredoxins across different species may be a useful approach to improve tolerance to abiotic stresses and understand the plant signaling under abiotic stress conditions in many agriculturally important crop species.

SEQUENCE LISTING

```
<160> NUMBER OF SEQ ID NOS: 31
<210> SEO ID NO 1
<211> LENGTH: 1467
<212> TYPE: DNA
<213> ORGANISM: Arabidopsis thaliana
<400> SEQUENCE: 1
atgageggta eggtgaagga tategtttea aaggeggage ttgataaett gegeeagage
                                                                       60
ggcgcaccag tcgtgcttca cttctgggct tcttggtgtg atgcttcgaa gcagatggat
                                                                      120
caagttttct ctcatctcgc tactgatttc cctcgtgctc acttctttag ggttgaagct
                                                                      180
gaggaacatc ctgagatatc tgaggcttac tctgttgctg ctgtgcctta tttcgtcttc
                                                                      240
ttcaaggatg gtaaaactgt ggatacactt gagggtgcag atccatcaag tttagctaat
                                                                      300
aaggttggca aagttgctgg ttctagtact tctgcggagc ctgctgctcc tgcaagctta
                                                                      360
gggttggctg ctgggccaac gattcttgaa actgtgaagg agaatgcgaa agcttcttta
                                                                      420
caagaccgag ctcagcctgt atctaccgcc gatgctctca agagccgttt ggaaaagctc
                                                                      480
actaattoto accotgicat gitattoatg aaaggtatto otgaagagoo taggigtggg
                                                                      540
tttagcagga aagtagttga cattttgaaa gaggttaacg ttgattttgg aagttttgac
                                                                      600
atactatcgg ataacgaagt gcgagagggt ttgaagaaat tctctaactg gccaacgttt
                                                                      660
cctcagctgt actgcaacgg agagcttctt ggtggagctg atatcgcaat agcgatgcac
gagagcggtg aactaaaaga tgctttcaaa gatcttggga tcacgacagt tggttcaaaa
gaaaqtcaqq atqaaqctqq aaaaqqaqqa qqqqttaqtt caqqaaacac aqqcttaaqt
                                                                      840
qaqaccetce qaqeteqqet eqaaqqtetq qtcaatteca aaccaqttat qetqttcatq
                                                                      900
aaaggaagac cagaagaacc aaagtgtggg ttcagtggga aagtggttga aatcctcaac
                                                                      960
caagaaaaaa togagtttgg gagtttcgat atcotottag atgacgaagt togocaaggc
                                                                     1020
                                                                     1080
cttaaaqtqt attcaaactq qtcaaqctat cctcaqcttt acqtqaaaqq cqaqcttatq
ggtggatcag acattgtctt ggagatgcaa aagagcggtg agctgaaaaa ggtcttgacc
                                                                     1140
gagaaaggga tcactggaga acagagtett gaagatagat tgaaggeact gatcaattee
                                                                     1200
tcggaagtaa tgctattcat gaaaggttca ccagatgaac cgaaatgcgg atttagctcc
                                                                     1260
aaagttgtga aagcattgag aggagaaaac gtgagtttcg gatcgtttga tatcttgact
                                                                     1320
gatgaagaag taaggcaagg gattaagaat ttctcaaact ggccaacttt tcctcagcta
                                                                     1380
tactacaaag gtgagttaat tggaggatgt gatatcatta tggagctaag tgagagtggt
                                                                     1440
gatctcaaag caactctatc cgagtaa
                                                                     1467
<210> SEQ ID NO 2
<211> LENGTH: 488
<212> TYPE: PRT
<213> ORGANISM: Arabidopsis thaliana
<400> SEQUENCE: 2
```

```
Met Ser Gly Thr Val Lys Asp Ile Val Ser Lys Ala Glu Leu Asp Asn
```

1				5					10					15	
Leu	Arg	Gln	Ser 20	Gly	Ala	Pro	Val	Val 25	Leu	His	Phe	Trp	Ala 30	Ser	Trp
CAa	Asp	Ala 35	Ser	Lys	Gln	Met	Asp 40	Gln	Val	Phe	Ser	His 45	Leu	Ala	Thr
Asp	Phe 50	Pro	Arg	Ala	His	Phe 55	Phe	Arg	Val	Glu	Ala 60	Glu	Glu	His	Pro
Glu 65	Ile	Ser	Glu	Ala	Tyr 70	Ser	Val	Ala	Ala	Val 75	Pro	Tyr	Phe	Val	Phe 80
Phe	ГЛа	Asp	Gly	Lys 85	Thr	Val	Asp	Thr	Leu 90	Glu	Gly	Ala	Asp	Pro 95	Ser
Ser	Leu	Ala	Asn 100	Lys	Val	Gly	Lys	Val 105	Ala	Gly	Ser	Ser	Thr 110	Ser	Ala
Glu	Pro	Ala 115	Ala	Pro	Ala	Ser	Leu 120	Gly	Leu	Ala	Ala	Gly 125	Pro	Thr	Ile
Leu	Glu 130	Thr	Val	Lys	Glu	Asn 135	Ala	Lys	Ala	Ser	Leu 140	Gln	Asp	Arg	Ala
Gln 145	Pro	Val	Ser	Thr	Ala 150	Asp	Ala	Leu	Lys	Ser 155	Arg	Leu	Glu	Lys	Leu 160
Thr	Asn	Ser	His	Pro 165	Val	Met	Leu	Phe	Met 170	Lys	Gly	Ile	Pro	Glu 175	Glu
Pro	Arg	Cya	Gly 180	Phe	Ser	Arg	ГЛа	Val 185	Val	Asp	Ile	Leu	Lys 190	Glu	Val
Asn	Val	Asp 195	Phe	Gly	Ser	Phe	Asp 200	Ile	Leu	Ser	Asp	Asn 205	Glu	Val	Arg
Glu	Gly 210	Leu	ГÀа	ГÀа	Phe	Ser 215	Asn	Trp	Pro	Thr	Phe 220	Pro	Gln	Leu	Tyr
Cys 225	Asn	Gly	Glu	Leu	Leu 230	Gly	Gly	Ala	Asp	Ile 235	Ala	Ile	Ala	Met	His 240
Glu	Ser	Gly	Glu	Leu 245	ГÀа	Asp	Ala	Phe	Lys 250	Asp	Leu	Gly	Ile	Thr 255	Thr
Val	Gly	Ser	Lys 260	Glu	Ser	Gln	Asp	Glu 265	Ala	Gly	ГÀа	Gly	Gly 270	Gly	Val
Ser	Ser	Gly 275	Asn	Thr	Gly	Leu	Ser 280	Glu	Thr	Leu	Arg	Ala 285	Arg	Leu	Glu
Gly	Leu 290	Val	Asn	Ser	Lys	Pro 295	Val	Met	Leu	Phe	Met 300	Lys	Gly	Arg	Pro
Glu 305	Glu	Pro	Lys	CAa	Gly 310	Phe	Ser	Gly	Lys	Val 315	Val	Glu	Ile	Leu	Asn 320
Gln	Glu	Lys	Ile	Glu 325	Phe	Gly	Ser	Phe	330	Ile	Leu	Leu	Asp	Asp 335	Glu
Val	Arg	Gln	Gly 340	Leu	ГÀа	Val	Tyr	Ser 345	Asn	Trp	Ser	Ser	Tyr 350	Pro	Gln
Leu	Tyr	Val 355	Lys	Gly	Glu	Leu	Met 360	Gly	Gly	Ser	Asp	Ile 365	Val	Leu	Glu
Met	Gln 370	Lys	Ser	Gly	Glu	Leu 375	Lys	Lys	Val	Leu	Thr 380	Glu	Lys	Gly	Ile
Thr 385	Gly	Glu	Gln	Ser	Leu 390	Glu	Asp	Arg	Leu	Lys 395	Ala	Leu	Ile	Asn	Ser 400
Ser	Glu	Val	Met	Leu 405	Phe	Met	Lys	Gly	Ser 410	Pro	Asp	Glu	Pro	Lys 415	Cys
Gly	Phe	Ser	Ser 420	Lys	Val	Val	Lys	Ala 425	Leu	Arg	Gly	Glu	Asn 430	Val	Ser

-continued

Phe Gly Ser Phe Asp Ile Leu Thr Asp Glu Glu Val Arg Gln Gly Ile 440 Lys Asn Phe Ser Asn Trp Pro Thr Phe Pro Gln Leu Tyr Tyr Lys Gly Glu Leu Ile Gly Gly Cys Asp Ile Ile Met Glu Leu Ser Glu Ser Gly Asp Leu Lys Ala Thr Leu Ser Glu 485 <210> SEQ ID NO 3 <211> LENGTH: 2202 <212> TYPE: DNA <213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence <223> OTHER INFORMATION: ATGRXS17-GFP FUSION PROTEIN CODING SEQUENCE <400> SEQUENCE: 3 atgageggta eggtgaagga tategtttea aaggeggage ttgataaett gegeeagage 60 ggcgcaccag tcgtgcttca cttctgggct tcttggtgtg atgcttcgaa gcagatggat 120 caaqttttct ctcatctcqc tactqatttc cctcqtqctc acttctttaq qqttqaaqct 180 qaqqaacatc ctqaqatatc tqaqqcttac tctqttqctq ctqtqcctta tttcqtcttc 240 ttcaaggatg gtaaaactgt ggatacactt gagggtgcag atccatcaag tttagctaat 300 aaggttggca aagttgctgg ttctagtact tctgcggagc ctgctgctcc tgcaagctta 360 gggttggctg ctgggccaac gattcttgaa actgtgaagg agaatgcgaa agcttcttta 420 caagaccgag ctcagcctgt atctaccgcc gatgctctca agagccgttt ggaaaagctc 480 actaattoto accotgicat gitattoatg aaaggiatto oigaagagoo taggigigig 540 tttagcagga aagtagttga cattttgaaa gaggttaacg ttgattttgg aagttttgac 600 atactatcgg ataacgaagt gcgagagggt ttgaagaaat tctctaactg gccaacgttt 660 cctcagctgt actgcaacgg agagcttctt ggtggagctg atatcgcaat agcgatgcac 720 gagageggtg aactaaaaga tgettteaaa gatettggga teaegaeagt tggtteaaaa 780 gaaagtcagg atgaagctgg aaaaggagga ggggttagtt caggaaacac aggcttaagt 840 gagaccetee gagetegget egaaggtetg gteaatteea aaccagttat getgtteatg 900 aaaggaagac cagaagaacc aaagtgtggg ttcagtggga aagtggttga aatcctcaac 960 caagaaaaaa togagtttgg gagtttogat atootottag atgacgaagt togocaaggo cttaaagtgt attcaaactg gtcaagctat cctcagcttt acgtgaaagg cgagcttatg 1080 ggtggatcag acattgtctt ggagatgcaa aagagcggtg agctgaaaaa ggtcttgacc 1140 1200 qaqaaaqqqa tcactqqaqa acaqaqtctt qaaqataqat tqaaqqcact qatcaattcc toggaagtaa tgotattoat gaaaggttoa ooagatgaac ogaaatgogg atttagotoo 1260 aaagttgtga aagcattgag aggagaaaac gtgagtttcg gatcgtttga tatcttgact 1320 gatgaagaag taaggcaagg gattaagaat ttctcaaact ggccaacttt tcctcagcta 1380 tactacaaag gtgagttaat tggaggatgt gatatcatta tggagctaag tgagagtggt 1440 gatctcaaag caactctatc cgaggggatc caaggagata taacaatgag taaaggagaa 1500 gaacttttca ctggagttgt cccaattctt gttgaattag atggtgatgt taatgggcac 1560 aaattttctg tcagtggaga gggtgaaggt gatgcaacat acggaaaact tacccttaaa 1620 tttatttgca ctactggaaa actacctgtt ccatggccaa cacttgtcac tactttctct 1680

tatg	gtgt	tc a	atgo	ctttt	c aa	agata	accca	a gat	cata	atga	agco	ggcad	cga d	cttct	tcaag	1740
agcg	ccat	gc c	ctgag	gggat	a co	gtgca	aggag	g agg	gacca	atct	cttt	caaç	gga o	gacg	gggaac	1800
tacaa	agac	cac c	gtgct	gaag	gt ca	aagtt	tgag	9 998	agaca	accc	tcgt	caad	cag q	gatco	gagctt	1860
aagg	gaat	cg a	attto	caago	ga go	gacgo	gaaac	ato	cctcç	ggcc	acaa	gtt	gga a	ataca	aactac	1920
aact	ccca	ica a	acgta	ataca	at ca	acggo	cagad	c aaa	acaaa	aaga	atg	gaato	caa a	agcta	aacttc	1980
aaaattagac acaacattga agatggaagc gttcaactag cagaccatta tcaacaaaat 20														2040		
actocaattg gogatggccc tgtcctttta ccagacaacc attacctgtc cacacaatct 21														2100		
gccctttcga aagatcccaa cgaaaagaga gaccacatgg tccttcttga gtttgtaaca 21														2160		
gctgctggga ttacacatgg catggatgaa ctatacaaat aa														2202		
<210> SEQ ID NO 4 <211> LENGTH: 733 <212> TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence <220> FEATURE: <223> OTHER INFORMATION: ATGRXS17-GFP FUSION PROTEIN <400> SEQUENCE: 4																
< 400	> SE	EQUE	ICE :	4												
Met :	Ser	Gly	Thr	Val 5	Lys	Asp	Ile	Val	Ser 10	Lys	Ala	Glu	Leu	Asp 15	Asn	
Leu i	Arg	Gln	Ser 20	Gly	Ala	Pro	Val	Val 25	Leu	His	Phe	Trp	Ala 30	Ser	Trp	
Cys 1	Aap	Ala 35	Ser	Lys	Gln	Met	Asp 40	Gln	Val	Phe	Ser	His 45	Leu	Ala	Thr	
Asp i	Phe 50	Pro	Arg	Ala	His	Phe 55	Phe	Arg	Val	Glu	Ala 60	Glu	Glu	His	Pro	
Glu :	Ile	Ser	Glu	Ala	Tyr 70	Ser	Val	Ala	Ala	Val 75	Pro	Tyr	Phe	Val	Phe 80	
Phe l	Lуs	Asp	Gly	Lys 85	Thr	Val	Asp	Thr	Leu 90	Glu	Gly	Ala	Asp	Pro 95	Ser	
Ser 1	Leu	Ala	Asn 100	Lys	Val	Gly	Lys	Val 105	Ala	Gly	Ser	Ser	Thr 110	Ser	Ala	
Glu 1	Pro	Ala 115	Ala	Pro	Ala	Ser	Leu 120	Gly	Leu	Ala	Ala	Gly 125	Pro	Thr	Ile	
Leu (Glu 130	Thr	Val	Lys	Glu	Asn 135	Ala	Lys	Ala	Ser	Leu 140	Gln	Asp	Arg	Ala	
Gln 1 145	Pro	Val	Ser	Thr	Ala 150	Asp	Ala	Leu	Lys	Ser 155	Arg	Leu	Glu	Lys	Leu 160	
Thr A	Asn	Ser	His	Pro 165	Val	Met	Leu	Phe	Met 170	Lys	Gly	Ile	Pro	Glu 175	Glu	
Pro i	Arg	Сув	Gly 180	Phe	Ser	Arg	Lys	Val 185	Val	Asp	Ile	Leu	Lys 190	Glu	Val	
Asn ⁷	Val	Asp 195	Phe	Gly	Ser	Phe	Asp 200	Ile	Leu	Ser	Asp	Asn 205	Glu	Val	Arg	
Glu (Gly 210	Leu	ГЛа	Lys	Phe	Ser 215	Asn	Trp	Pro	Thr	Phe 220	Pro	Gln	Leu	Tyr	
Cys 1	Asn	Gly	Glu	Leu	Leu 230	Gly	Gly	Ala	Asp	Ile 235	Ala	Ile	Ala	Met	His 240	
Glu :	Ser	Gly	Glu	Leu 245		Asp	Ala	Phe	Lys 250		Leu	Gly	Ile	Thr 255		
Val (Gly	Ser	Lys 260		Ser	Gln	Asp	Glu 265		Gly	Lys	Gly	Gly 270		Val	

Ser	Ser	Gly 275	Asn	Thr	Gly	Leu	Ser 280	Glu	Thr	Leu	Arg	Ala 285	Arg	Leu	Glu
Gly	Leu 290	Val	Asn	Ser	Lys	Pro 295	Val	Met	Leu	Phe	Met 300	ГÀа	Gly	Arg	Pro
Glu 305	Glu	Pro	ГÀз	CÀa	Gly 310	Phe	Ser	Gly	ГЛа	Val 315	Val	Glu	Ile	Leu	Asn 320
Gln	Glu	Lys	Ile	Glu 325	Phe	Gly	Ser	Phe	330	Ile	Leu	Leu	Asp	Asp 335	Glu
Val	Arg	Gln	Gly 340	Leu	Lys	Val	Tyr	Ser 345	Asn	Trp	Ser	Ser	Tyr 350	Pro	Gln
Leu	Tyr	Val 355	Lys	Gly	Glu	Leu	Met 360	Gly	Gly	Ser	Asp	Ile 365	Val	Leu	Glu
Met	Gln 370	ГÀа	Ser	Gly	Glu	Leu 375	Lys	Lys	Val	Leu	Thr 380	Glu	ГÀа	Gly	Ile
Thr 385	Gly	Glu	Gln	Ser	Leu 390	Glu	Asp	Arg	Leu	Lys 395	Ala	Leu	Ile	Asn	Ser 400
Ser	Glu	Val	Met	Leu 405	Phe	Met	Lys	Gly	Ser 410	Pro	Asp	Glu	Pro	Lys 415	CÀa
Gly	Phe	Ser	Ser 420	Lys	Val	Val	Lys	Ala 425	Leu	Arg	Gly	Glu	Asn 430	Val	Ser
Phe	Gly	Ser 435	Phe	Asp	Ile	Leu	Thr 440	Asp	Glu	Glu	Val	Arg 445	Gln	Gly	Ile
Lys	Asn 450	Phe	Ser	Asn	Trp	Pro 455	Thr	Phe	Pro	Gln	Leu 460	Tyr	Tyr	Lys	Gly
Glu 465	Leu	Ile	Gly	Gly	Cys 470	Asp	Ile	Ile	Met	Glu 475	Leu	Ser	Glu	Ser	Gly 480
Asp	Leu	Lys	Ala	Thr 485	Leu	Ser	Glu	Gly	Ile 490	Gln	Gly	Asp	Ile	Thr 495	Met
Ser	Lys	Gly	Glu 500	Glu	Leu	Phe	Thr	Gly 505	Val	Val	Pro	Ile	Leu 510	Val	Glu
Leu	Asp	Gly 515	Asp	Val	Asn	Gly	His 520	Lys	Phe	Ser	Val	Ser 525	Gly	Glu	Gly
Glu	Gly 530	Asp	Ala	Thr	Tyr	Gly 535	Lys	Leu	Thr	Leu	Lys 540	Phe	Ile	CAa	Thr
Thr 545	Gly	ГÀа	Leu	Pro	Val 550	Pro	Trp	Pro	Thr	Leu 555	Val	Thr	Thr	Phe	Ser 560
Tyr	Gly	Val	Gln	Сув 565	Phe	Ser	Arg	Tyr	Pro 570	Asp	His	Met	ГÀа	Arg 575	His
Asp	Phe	Phe	Lys 580	Ser	Ala	Met	Pro	Glu 585	Gly	Tyr	Val	Gln	Glu 590	Arg	Thr
Ile	Ser	Phe 595	Lys	Asp	Asp	Gly	Asn 600	Tyr	Lys	Thr	Arg	Ala 605	Glu	Val	Lys
Phe	Glu 610	Gly	Asp	Thr	Leu	Val 615	Asn	Arg	Ile	Glu	Leu 620	ГÀа	Gly	Ile	Asp
Phe 625	Lys	Glu	Asp	Gly	Asn 630	Ile	Leu	Gly	His	Lys 635	Leu	Glu	Tyr	Asn	Tyr 640
Asn	Ser	His	Asn	Val 645	Tyr	Ile	Thr	Ala	Asp 650	Lys	Gln	Lys	Asn	Gly 655	Ile
ГÀа	Ala	Asn	Phe 660	Lys	Ile	Arg	His	Asn 665	Ile	Glu	Asp	Gly	Ser 670	Val	Gln
Leu	Ala	Asp 675	His	Tyr	Gln	Gln	Asn 680	Thr	Pro	Ile	Gly	Asp 685	Gly	Pro	Val
Leu	Leu	Pro	Asp	Asn	His	Tyr	Leu	Ser	Thr	Gln	Ser	Ala	Leu	Ser	Lys

-continued

690 695 Asp Pro Asn Glu Lys Arg Asp His Met Val Leu Leu Glu Phe Val Thr 705 710 715 Ala Ala Gly Ile Thr His Gly Met Asp Glu Leu Tyr Lys 725 <210> SEQ ID NO 5 <211> LENGTH: 1476 <212> TYPE: DNA <213> ORGANISM: Oryza sativa <400> SEQUENCE: 5 atggcggcgg tgagggaggt ggggtcgaag gcggagctgg aggcggcggc gggaggggcg cgggccgccg cggtgcactt ctgggcggcg tggtgcgagg cgtccaagca gatggacgag gtettegege acctegeegt egacttetee caegeegtet teeteegggt tgaagetgag 180 gaacaacccg aaatttcaga ggcatatgga gttacagcag tgccatattt tgttttcttg 240 aaqqaaqqta aaactqttqa tactctqqaq qqtqcaaatc caqccaqctt qqccaataaq 300 gttgcaaagt tagctgggcc tgccagcgtt gctgagtctg ctgtgcctgc tagcctgggt 360 420 gtggctgctg ggcctgctgt acttgaaaag gttcaagaga tggcacagca aaatggagct tetgecacta gtagtgcaga agatgcattg aacaagagat tggagcaget tgtcaattee 480 catcccgtct tcttatttat gaagggaacc cctgagcaac caaggtgtgg tttcagtcga 540 aaagtagttg acgttttgaa gcaggaagga gttgaatttg ggagctttga catcctaaca 600 gataacgatg tacgtgaagg aatgaaaaag ttctcaaact ggccgacttt tcctcagctc 660 tactgcaaag gtgagctgct tggtggatgt gatattgtga ttgctatgca tgaaagcggt 720 gaactgaagg atgtttttaa ggagcacaac attccgctgc agccacaggg aagcaaaaac 780 gaggaggcag tgaaagccaa geetgataet gagaagagtg gtgeagttte tgaaccaget 840 ttgcttactg cagctcagaa ggaacgcttg gaaagccttg ttaatttcag cacagtgatg 900 gcatttataa aaggtacacc tgaggagccc aagtgtggat tcagtggaaa actagtgcat 960 attottaago aagagaagat cootttotoa agttttgaca ttottacgga tgatgaggtt 1020 aggcagggtc taaagcttct ctcaaactgg cctagttacc ctcaactgta cataaacggt 1080 gaactggttg gcggatcaga cattgttatg gagatgcata agagtgggga gcttaagaag 1140 1200 gttctatctg agaaagggat cgttgcgaaa gaaagtctag aagaccgcct gaaggccctg atttcctctg ccccagtgat gctcttcatg aagggcaccc cagatgcccc ccgctgcggc 1260 ttcagttcga aggttgtgaa tgcactgaag caagcaggag tcagcttcgg agcgttcgac atcctatccg acgaggaggt taggcaaggc ttgaagacgt actccaactg gcccacgttc cctcagctgt actacaaatc agaactgatt ggaggctgtg acatcgttct tgagctggag 1440 aagagtggag agctgaagtc cacgctttcg gagtga 1476 <210> SEQ ID NO 6 <211> LENGTH: 491 <212> TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Oryza sativa

<400> SEQUENCE: 6

Met Ala Ala Val Arg Glu Val Gly Ser Lys Ala Glu Leu Glu Ala Ala 10

Ala Gly Gly Ala Arg Ala Ala Ala Val His Phe Trp Ala Ala Trp Cys 20 25 30

Glu	Ala	Ser 35	Lys	Gln	Met	Asp	Glu 40	Val	Phe	Ala	His	Leu 45	Ala	Val	Asp
Phe	Ser 50	His	Ala	Val	Phe	Leu 55	Arg	Val	Glu	Ala	Glu 60	Glu	Gln	Pro	Glu
Ile 65	Ser	Glu	Ala	Tyr	Gly 70	Val	Thr	Ala	Val	Pro 75	Tyr	Phe	Val	Phe	Leu 80
ГÀа	Glu	Gly	Lys	Thr 85	Val	Asp	Thr	Leu	Glu 90	Gly	Ala	Asn	Pro	Ala 95	Ser
Leu	Ala	Asn	Lys 100	Val	Ala	Lys	Leu	Ala 105	Gly	Pro	Ala	Ser	Val 110	Ala	Glu
Ser	Ala	Val 115	Pro	Ala	Ser	Leu	Gly 120	Val	Ala	Ala	Gly	Pro 125	Ala	Val	Leu
Glu	Lys 130	Val	Gln	Glu	Met	Ala 135	Gln	Gln	Asn	Gly	Ala 140	Ser	Ala	Thr	Ser
Ser 145	Ala	Glu	Asp	Ala	Leu 150	Asn	Lys	Arg	Leu	Glu 155	Gln	Leu	Val	Asn	Ser 160
His	Pro	Val	Phe	Leu 165	Phe	Met	Lys	Gly	Thr 170	Pro	Glu	Gln	Pro	Arg 175	CÀa
Gly	Phe	Ser	Arg 180	ГÀв	Val	Val	Asp	Val 185	Leu	Lys	Gln	Glu	Gly 190	Val	Glu
Phe	Gly	Ser 195	Phe	Asp	Ile	Leu	Thr 200	Asp	Asn	Asp	Val	Arg 205	Glu	Gly	Met
ГÀа	Lys 210	Phe	Ser	Asn	Trp	Pro 215	Thr	Phe	Pro	Gln	Leu 220	Tyr	Cys	Lys	Gly
Glu 225	Leu	Leu	Gly	Gly	Cys 230	Asp	Ile	Val	Ile	Ala 235	Met	His	Glu	Ser	Gly 240
Glu	Leu	Lys	Asp	Val 245	Phe	Lys	Glu	His	Asn 250	Ile	Pro	Leu	Gln	Pro 255	Gln
Gly	Ser	Lys	Asn 260	Glu	Glu	Ala	Val	Lys 265	Ala	Lys	Pro	Asp	Thr 270	Glu	Lys
Ser	Gly	Ala 275	Val	Ser	Glu	Pro	Ala 280	Leu	Leu	Thr	Ala	Ala 285	Gln	ГÀз	Glu
Arg	Leu 290	Glu	Ser	Leu	Val	Asn 295	Phe	Ser	Thr	Val	Met 300	Ala	Phe	Ile	ГÀа
Gly 305	Thr	Pro	Glu	Glu	Pro 310	ГЛа	CÀa	Gly	Phe	Ser 315	Gly	ГÀа	Leu	Val	His 320
Ile	Leu	ГЛа	Gln	Glu 325	ràa	Ile	Pro	Phe	Ser 330	Ser	Phe	Asp	Ile	Leu 335	Thr
Asp	Asp	Glu	Val 340	Arg	Gln	Gly	Leu	Lys 345	Leu	Leu	Ser	Asn	Trp 350	Pro	Ser
Tyr	Pro	Gln 355	Leu	Tyr	Ile	Asn	Gly 360	Glu	Leu	Val	Gly	Gly 365	Ser	Asp	Ile
Val	Met 370	Glu	Met	His	ГÀа	Ser 375	Gly	Glu	Leu	Lys	380 Lys	Val	Leu	Ser	Glu
185 385	Gly	Ile	Val	Ala	390 Tàa	Glu	Ser	Leu	Glu	Asp 395	Arg	Leu	Lys	Ala	Leu 400
Ile	Ser	Ser	Ala	Pro 405	Val	Met	Leu	Phe	Met 410	Lys	Gly	Thr	Pro	Asp 415	Ala
Pro	Arg	Сув	Gly 420	Phe	Ser	Ser	Lys	Val 425	Val	Asn	Ala	Leu	Lys 430	Gln	Ala
Gly	Val	Ser 435	Phe	Gly	Ala	Phe	Asp 440	Ile	Leu	Ser	Asp	Glu 445	Glu	Val	Arg

-continued

Gln Gly Leu Lys Thr Tyr Ser Asn Trp Pro Thr Phe Pro Gln Leu Tyr 450 455 Tyr Lys Ser Glu Leu Ile Gly Gly Cys Asp Ile Val Leu Glu Leu Glu Lys Ser Gly Glu Leu Lys Ser Thr Leu Ser Glu 485 <210> SEQ ID NO 7 <211> LENGTH: 1949 <212> TYPE: DNA <213> ORGANISM: Oryza sativa <400> SEQUENCE: 7 aaaaggaaag cagaggaaaa ccccaagccg caagcccccc cgccgcgagt cgtcttctca 60 120 teteteetee geegeegeeg eetgetgetg catetetegt egtegeeggt gagggaaggg 180 aqqqqaqqq qqaqqqqtq atqqqqqqq tqaqqqaqqt qqqqtcqaaq qcqqaqctqq aggeggegge gggaggggeg egggeegeeg eggtgeactt etgggeggeg tggtgegagg 240 300 egtecaagea gatggacgag gtettegege acetegeegt egacttetee cacgeegtet tcctccqqqt tqaaqctqaq qaacaacccq aaatttcaqa qqcatatqqa qttacaqcaq 360 tqccatattt tqttttcttq aaqqaaqqta aaactqttqa tactctqqaq qqtqcaaatc 420 cagccagctt ggccaataag gttgcaaagt tagctgggcc tgccagcgtt gctgagtctg 480 540 ctqtqcctqc taqcctqqqt qtqqctqctq qqcctqctqt acttqaaaaq qttcaaqaqa tggcacagca aaatggagct tetgecacta gtagtgcaga agatgcattg aacaagagat 600 tggagcagct tgtcaattcc catcccgtct tcttatttat gaagggaacc cctgagcaac 660 caaggtgtgg tttcagtcga aaagtagttg acgttttgaa gcaggaagga gttgaatttg 720 ggagetttga cateetaaca gataacgatg taegtgaagg aatgaaaaag tteteaaact 780 ggccgacttt tcctcagctc tactgcaaag gtgagctgct tggtggatgt gatattgtga 840 ttgctatgca tgaaagcggt gaactgaagg atgtttttaa ggagcacaac attccgctgc 900 agccacaggg aagcaaaaac gaggaggcag tgaaagccaa gcctgatact gagaagagtg 960 gtgcagtttc tgaaccagct ttgcttactg cagctcagaa ggaacgcttg gaaagccttg 1020 ttaatttcag cacagtgatg gcatttataa aaggtacacc tgaggagccc aagtgtggat 1080 tcagtggaaa actagtgcat attcttaagc aagagaagat ccctttctca agttttgaca 1140 ttottacgga tgatgaggtt aggcagggto taaagettot otcaaactgg octagttaco 1200 ctcaactgta cataaacggt gaactggttg gcggatcaga cattgttatg gagatgcata 1260 agagtgggga gcttaagaag gttctatctg agaaagggat cgttgcgaaa gaaagtctag 1320 aagaccgcct gaaggccctg atttcctctg ccccagtgat gctcttcatg aagggcaccc 1380 cagatgcccc ccgctgcggc ttcagttcga aggttgtgaa tgcactgaag caagcaggag 1440 1500 teagettegg agegttegae atcetateeg aegaggaggt taggeaagge ttgaagaegt actocaactg goodacgtto cotcagotgt actacaaatc agaactgatt ggaggotgtg 1560 acategttet tgagetggag aagagtggag agetgaagte caegettteg gagtgaggaa 1620 aatttaccgt cgtatccgca tcttcctctt cctggtgttt ctaccatccg tcgaccatcg 1680 agtttgctct attgatggca ttttcttgta ttcatagatc cgtatttcaa acttagatat 1740 atgcctgtgc cacatcgctt ttaactgtga catctgcaga agaataacta agacgacctg 1800

ttcagtacgg ctaataacta agacgacctg ttcagtacgg ttatattgcc agttcatttt

1860

gccgcctcgc tttcgctctg ccttgaagcg ttgcaacttc cttattatcg caattaatag	1920
agget gattt aggesetteg estatogga	1949
agggtgcttt agaaaattag aatgtacgc	1949
<210> SEQ ID NO 8 <211> LENGTH: 21	
<211> DENGTH: 21 <212> TYPE: DNA	
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence	
<220> FEATURE:	
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic primer sequence	
<400> SEQUENCE: 8	
atgagoggta oggtgaagga t	21
<210> SEQ ID NO 9	
<211> LENGTH: 21	
<212> TYPE: DNA <213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence	
<220> FEATURE:	
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic primer sequence	
<400> SEQUENCE: 9	
<400 > Springer: A	
tagctcggat agagttgctt t	21
<210> SEQ ID NO 10	
<211> LENGTH: 20	
<212> TYPE: DNA	
<213 > ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence	
<pre><220> FEATURE: <223> OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic primer sequence</pre>	
Carry Strait Internation. Synchrotic primer beganner	
<400> SEQUENCE: 10	
tgcgttttga catgccggga	20
tgegetetga eatgeeggga	20
<210> SEQ ID NO 11 <211> LENGTH: 24	
<211> DENOTA: 24 <212> TYPE: DNA	
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence	
<220> FEATURE:	
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic primer sequence	
<400> SEQUENCE: 11	
tctgggagac ttaaacgagt gtcg	24
<210> SEQ ID NO 12	
<211> LENGTH: 26	
<212> TYPE: DNA <213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence	
<220> FEATURE:	
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic primer sequence	
<400> SEQUENCE: 12	
4400 SEQUENCE: 12	
ggcattgtgt tgaaaggagt catgga	26
<210> SEQ ID NO 13	
<211> LENGTH: 25	
<212> TYPE: DNA	
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence	
<220> FEATURE:	
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic primer sequence	
<400> SEQUENCE: 13	
ccacagagag aaacagagga tgaac	25
<210> SEQ ID NO 14	
<211> LENGTH: 20	

```
<212> TYPE: DNA
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
<220> FEATURE:
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic primer sequence
<400> SEQUENCE: 14
gcggtggagg agaacacgct
                                                                        20
<210> SEQ ID NO 15
<211> LENGTH: 21
<212> TYPE: DNA
<213 > ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic primer sequence
<400> SEQUENCE: 15
                                                                        21
tctccgcctt gattccatcc a
<210> SEQ ID NO 16
<211> LENGTH: 24
<212> TYPE: DNA
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
<220> FEATURE:
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic primer sequence
<400> SEQUENCE: 16
ggcagcaaag gcaatgttga ggga
                                                                        24
<210> SEQ ID NO 17
<211> LENGTH: 26
<212> TYPE: DNA
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
<220> FEATURE:
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic primer sequence
<400> SEQUENCE: 17
tgggaacatg tgccaagatg agatga
                                                                        26
<210> SEQ ID NO 18
<211> LENGTH: 22
<212> TYPE: DNA
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
<220> FEATURE:
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic primer sequence
<400> SEQUENCE: 18
ggcggtctct agtagcgcat gt
                                                                        22
<210> SEQ ID NO 19
<211> LENGTH: 22
<212> TYPE: DNA
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
<220> FEATURE:
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic primer sequence
<400> SEQUENCE: 19
tggttgagga aagccgagtc ca
                                                                        22
<210> SEQ ID NO 20
<211> LENGTH: 20
<212> TYPE: DNA
<213 > ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence
<220> FEATURE:
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic primer sequence
<400> SEQUENCE: 20
```

accaacaatc acagccacag	20
accaacaacc acagecacag	20
<210> SEQ ID NO 21 <211> LENGTH: 20	
<212> TYPE: DNA	
<213 > ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence	
<220> FEATURE: <223> OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic primer sequence	
<400> SEQUENCE: 21	
tgctcttccc aagtccatct	20
<210> SEQ ID NO 22	
<211> LENGTH: 20 <212> TYPE: DNA	
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence	
<220> FEATURE: <223> OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic primer sequence	
<400> SEQUENCE: 22	
antanagana anaanttan	20
gctggcagga agaagtttcg	20
<210> SEQ ID NO 23	
<211> LENGTH: 22	
<212> TYPE: DNA	
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence <220> FEATURE:	
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic primer sequence	
<400> SEQUENCE: 23	
gagttggagg aagcagggat ag	22
<210> SEQ ID NO 24	
<211> LENGTH: 24	
<212> TYPE: DNA	
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence <220> FEATURE:	
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic primer sequence	
<400> SEQUENCE: 24	
attgctgctg gaaactatcc tgag	24
<210> SEQ ID NO 25	
<211> LENGTH: 24	
<212> TYPE: DNA	
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence <220> FEATURE:	
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic primer sequence	
<400> SEQUENCE: 25	
ggtccaatac ggtgtctctg agta	24
ggcccaacac ggcgccccg agea	2.
<210> SEQ ID NO 26	
<211> LENGTH: 22	
<212> TYPE: DNA	
<213 > ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence	
<220> FEATURE: <223> OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic primer sequence	
<400> SEQUENCE: 26	
cgatgtgtga tctcctatgg tc	22
<210> SEQ ID NO 27	
<211> LENGTH: 22	
<212> TYPE: DNA <213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence	
*	

-continued		
<220> FEATURE:		_
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic primer sequence		
<400> SEQUENCE: 27		
aagotgatgg gototagaaa to	22	
<210> SEQ ID NO 28 <211> LENGTH: 22		
<212> TYPE: DNA		
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence <220> FEATURE:		
<223> OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic primer sequence		
<400> SEQUENCE: 28		
ggcgacctcg tattgggaat cc	22	
<210> SEQ ID NO 29 <211> LENGTH: 22		
<211> HENGTH: 22 <212> TYPE: DNA		
<213> ORGANISM: Artificial Sequence <220> FEATURE:		
<223 > OTHER INFORMATION: Synthetic primer sequence		
<400> SEQUENCE: 29		
aagttcgaca gcgtctcgga cc	22	
<210> SEQ ID NO 30		
<211> LENGTH: 1476 <212> TYPE: DNA		
<213> ORGANISM: Solanum lycopersicum		
<400> SEQUENCE: 30		
atgggcggag gaggatcagt gaaggaagtt caatcgaagg cggagcttga taagattgtc	60	
gccgacggat caccggcgat tttgcacttt tgggcatcat ggtgtgaagc ttctaagcac	120	
atggaccaag tettttegea tetttetaet gattteecae atgeecattt tetaagagtt	180	
gaagctgaag aacagcctga gatatctgag ctgcactctg tttctgctgt accttacttt	240	
gtcttcttca aggaagggaa agctgttgat acattggaag gggccgatcc atctagtttg	300	
gctaacaagg ttgcaaaaat tgctgggtca atcactcctg gggatcctgc cgctcctgct	360	
agcotaggaa tggotgcagg tccctctgtt cttgaagcaa ttcaggagtt gtctagagaa	420	
aacggtgccc ctcaagtgtc aagttctggt cttgatgatc ggctaacaaa gcgacttcag	480	
cagetggttt etteteatee agtattgett tteatgaaag ggaceecaga agaaceeaag	540	
tgtggtttta gtcagaaagt cgttgatatc ttgaagaaag agaaggtcaa atttggaagt	600	
tttgatattc tgatggacag tgaggtccgt gagggtctga agaaattttc caattggcca	660	
acatateete aactgtactg caagggtgaa ettettggtg gttgtgatat tgttataact	720	
atgcatgaga gtggtgaact tacagatgtt ttcaaggatc acggggttgg ggtctctgat	780	
tetettgaaa etaaacegaa taaaactgeg ggtgggaagg gtggcatete tgaacaatet	840	
ggottgagta otgoottgac tactogtott goaggtotga taaactotag occagttatg	900	
ctgtttatga aaggaacagt tgatgaaccc cggtgtggat tcagcagaaa ggtggtggat	960	
attctcaaac aggagaaagt ggagtttgag acttttgaca ttctttctga tgatgaagtc	1020	
cgtcaaggac tgaaagttta ttccaactgg tctagttatc cacagctgta cataaagggt	1080	
gaacttattg gtggatcaga cattgtactg gagatgcaaa agagcggtga gtttaggaag	1140	
gttctgactg agaaggggat acaccagaaa gttagtcttg aagaccgttt aaagaatcta	1200	

-continued													
ctgaattcct cacctgtaat getetteatg aagggtacae cagattetee aagatgtggt	1260												
ttcagctcca aggtggtaaa tgccttgaag gaggaagggg ttgattttgg atcctttgat	1320												
attetateeg aegaagaagt aaggeaggga etgaagaeet tetetaaetg gecaaettat	1380												
ccgcagctgt attacaaagg cgaacttgta ggaggatgtg atatcgtgct ggaattacat	1440												
agcgggggtg aattgaagtc aacattatct gaatag	1476												
<210> SEQ ID NO 31													
<pre><211> LENGTH: 491 <212> TYPE: PRT</pre>													
<213> ORGANISM: Solanum lycopersicum													
<400> SEQUENCE: 31													
Met Gly Gly Gly Ser Val Lys Glu Val Gln Ser Lys Ala Glu Leu 1 5 10 15													
Asp Lys Ile Val Ala Asp Gly Ser Pro Ala Ile Leu His Phe Trp Ala 20 25 30													
Ser Trp Cys Glu Ala Ser Lys His Met Asp Gln Val Phe Ser His Leu 35 40 45													
Ser Thr Asp Phe Pro His Ala His Phe Leu Arg Val Glu Ala Glu Glu 50 55 60													
Gln Pro Glu Ile Ser Glu Leu His Ser Val Ser Ala Val Pro Tyr Phe 65 70 75 80													
Val Phe Phe Lys Glu Gly Lys Ala Val Asp Thr Leu Glu Gly Ala Asp 85 90 95													
Pro Ser Ser Leu Ala Asn Lys Val Ala Lys Ile Ala Gly Ser Ile Thr 100 105 110													
Pro Gly Asp Pro Ala Ala Pro Ala Ser Leu Gly Met Ala Ala Gly Pro 115 120 125													
Ser Val Leu Glu Ala Ile Gln Glu Leu Ser Arg Glu Asn Gly Ala Pro 130 135 140													
Gln Val Ser Ser Ser Gly Leu Asp Asp Arg Leu Thr Lys Arg Leu Gln 145 150 160													
Gln Leu Val Ser Ser His Pro Val Leu Leu Phe Met Lys Gly Thr Pro 165 170 175													
Glu Glu Pro Lys Cys Gly Phe Ser Gln Lys Val Val Asp Ile Leu Lys 180 185 190													
Lys Glu Lys Val Lys Phe Gly Ser Phe Asp Ile Leu Met Asp Ser Glu 195 200 205													
Val Arg Glu Gly Leu Lys Lys Phe Ser Asn Trp Pro Thr Tyr Pro Gln 210 215 220													
Leu Tyr Cys Lys Gly Glu Leu Leu Gly Gly Cys Asp Ile Val Ile Thr 225 230 235 240													
Met His Glu Ser Gly Glu Leu Thr Asp Val Phe Lys Asp His Gly Val 245 250 255													
Gly Val Ser Asp Ser Leu Glu Thr Lys Pro Asn Lys Thr Ala Gly Gly 260 265 270													
Lys Gly Gly Ile Ser Glu Gln Ser Gly Leu Ser Thr Ala Leu Thr Thr 275 280 285													
Arg Leu Ala Gly Leu Ile Asn Ser Ser Pro Val Met Leu Phe Met Lys 290 295 300													
Gly Thr Val Asp Glu Pro Arg Cys Gly Phe Ser Arg Lys Val Val Asp 305 310 315 320													
Ile Leu Lys Gln Glu Lys Val Glu Phe Glu Thr Phe Asp Ile Leu Ser													

				325					330					335		
Asp	Asp	Glu	Val 340	Arg	Gln	Gly	Leu	Lys 345	Val	Tyr	Ser	Asn	Trp 350	Ser	Ser	

Tyr Pro Gln Leu Tyr Ile Lys Gly Glu Leu Ile Gly Gly Ser Asp Ile

Val Leu Glu Met Gln Lys Ser Gly Glu Phe Arg Lys Val Leu Thr Glu

Lys Gly Ile His Gln Lys Val Ser Leu Glu Asp Arg Leu Lys Asn Leu

Leu Asn Ser Ser Pro Val Met Leu Phe Met Lys Gly Thr Pro Asp Ser

Pro Arg Cys Gly Phe Ser Ser Lys Val Val Asn Ala Leu Lys Glu Glu

Gly Val Asp Phe Gly Ser Phe Asp Ile Leu Ser Asp Glu Glu Val Arg

Gln Gly Leu Lys Thr Phe Ser Asn Trp Pro Thr Tyr Pro Gln Leu Tyr 455

Tyr Lys Gly Glu Leu Val Gly Gly Cys Asp Ile Val Leu Glu Leu His

Ser Gly Gly Glu Leu Lys Ser Thr Leu Ser Glu

The invention claimed is:

1. A method of producing a genetically-modified plant having enhanced tolerance to heat stress as compared to a control plant, said method comprising:

transforming a plant with a heterologous, abiotic stress tolerance gene to yield a genetically-modified plant having ectopic expression of said heterologous, abiotic stress tolerance gene, wherein said stress tolerance gene is Arabidopsis monothiol glutaredoxin AtGRXS17, wherein said gene encodes glutaredoxin GRXS17, thereby enhancing the tolerance of said genetically-modified plant to heat stress, and

wherein said genetically-modified plant is selected from the group consisting of wheat, oat, barley, rice, maize, millet, rye, sorghum, triticale, buckwheat, quinoa, soy- 45 beans, beans, peas, alfalfa, potatoes, sweet potatoes, cassava, yam, tomatoes, peppers, tobacco, and cotton.

2. The method of claim 1, wherein said transforming comprising:

said heterologous, abiotic stress tolerance gene encoding said glutaredoxin; and

allowing said nucleic acid construct to be ectopically expressed in said plant.

- 3. The method of claim 2, wherein said nucleic acid 55 construct comprises a nucleic acid coding sequence operably linked to a promoter that drives expression in said plant.
- 4. The method of claim 2, wherein the nucleic acid construct for said Arabidopsis monothiol glutaredoxin AtGRXS17 gene comprises a sequence selected from the 60 group consisting of: (a) a nucleotide sequence comprising SEQ ID NO:1 or 3; (b) a nucleotide sequence comprising an antisense sequence corresponding to SEQ ID NO:1 or 3; and (c) a nucleotide sequence encoding a glutaredoxin protein comprising SEQ ID NO:2 or 4.
- 5. The method of claim 1, wherein said plant comprises a plant tissue, and said transforming comprising:

culturing said tissue on media; and

introducing said heterologous, abiotic stress tolerance gene into the cells of said tissue to yield transformed tissue.

6. The method of claim 5, further comprising:

regenerating whole plants from said tissue, wherein said regenerated plants have enhanced tolerance to heat stress.

7. The method of claim 6, wherein said regenerating comprises:

inducing callus formation from said transformed tissue; regenerating shoots; and

rooting of said shoots in rooting media to regenerate said whole plant.

- 8. The method of claim 5, wherein said introducing is selected from the group consisting of: Agrobacterium-mediated transformation, PEG-mediated uptake, electroporation-mediated uptake, particle bombardment-mediated delivery, and microinjection.
- 9. The method of claim 1, wherein said geneticallyintroducing into said plant a nucleic acid construct for 50 modified plant comprises an identical phenotype as compared to said control plant when said plants are grown under non-stress conditions.
 - 10. The method of claim 1, said method further comprising inhibiting the expression, activity, or function of an endogenous glutaredoxin gene of said genetically-modified plant.
 - 11. The method of claim 1, said method further comprising: crossing said genetically-modified plant with a second plant to thereby produce progeny having enhanced tolerance to heat stress.
 - 12. The method of claim 11, wherein said progeny is homozygous for Arabidopsis monothiol glutaredoxin AtGRXS17.
 - 13. The method of claim 11, wherein said second plant has a characteristic selected from the group consisting of: pest resistance, herbicide resistance, geographic adaptation, and increased stalk strength.

14. The method of claim 1, said method further comprising self-pollinating said genetically-modified plant to thereby produce genetically-modified seed, wherein said seed comprises said heterologous, abiotic stress tolerance gene.

* * * *